arXiv:0804.0638v4 [math.RA] 16 May 2010

# Gröbner-Shirshov bases for dialgebras

L. A. Bokut*
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences
Siberian Branch, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
Email: bokut@math.nsc.ru

Yuqun Chen†‡ and Cihua Liu
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
Email: yqchen@scnu.edu.cn
langhua01duo@yahoo.com.cn

**Abstract:** In this paper, we define the Gröbner-Shirshov bases for a dialgebra. The composition-diamond lemma for dialgebras is given then. As a result, we obtain a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for the universal enveloping algebra of a Leibniz algebra.

**Key words:** dialgebra, Gröbner-Shirshov bases, composition-diamond lemma, Leibniz algebra

**AMS 2000 Subject Classification**: 16S15, 13P10, 17A32

## 1 Introduction

Recently, J.-L. Loday (1995, [10]) gave the definition of a new class of algebras, dialgebras, which is closely connected to his notion of Leibniz algebras (1993, [9]) and in the same way as associative algebras are connected to Lie algebras. In the manuscript [11], J.-L. Loday found a normal form of elements of a free dialgebra. Here we continue to study free dialgebras and prove the composition-diamond lemma for them. As it is well known, this kind of lemma is the cornerstone of the theory of Gröbner and Gröbner-Shirshov bases (see, for example, [5] and cited literature). In commutative-associative case, this lemma is equivalent to the Main Buchberger's Theorem ([6], [7]). For Lie and associative algebras, this is the Shirshov's lemma [12] (see also L.A. Bokut [3], [4] and G. Bergman [2]). As an application, we get another proof of the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem for Leibniz algebras, see M. Aymon, P.-P. Grivel [1] and P. Kolesnikov [8].

---

*Supported by the RFBR and the Integration Grant of the SB RAS (No. 1.9).

†Corresponding author.

‡Supported by the NNSF of China (No.10771077) and the NSF of Guangdong Province (No.06025062).

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** *Let $k$ be a field. A $k$-linear space $D$ equipped with two bilinear multiplications $\vdash$ and $\dashv$ is called a dialgebra, if both $\vdash$ and $\dashv$ are associative and*

$$\begin{aligned} a \dashv (b \vdash c) &= a \dashv b \dashv c \\ (a \dashv b) \vdash c &= a \vdash b \vdash c \\ a \vdash (b \dashv c) &= (a \vdash b) \dashv c \end{aligned}$$

*for any $a,\ b,\ c \in D$.*

**Definition 2.2** *Let $D$ be a dialgebra, $B \subset D$. Let us define diwords (dimonomials) of $D$ in the set $B$ by induction:*

*(i)* $b = (b),\ b \in B$ *is a diword in $B$ of length $|b| = 1$.*

*(ii)* $(u)$ *is called a diword in $B$ of length $n$, if $(u) = ((v) \dashv (w))$ or $(u) = ((v) \vdash (w))$, where $(v),\ (w)$ are diwords in $B$ of length $k,\ l$ respectively and $k + l = n$.*

**Proposition 2.3** *([11]) Let $D$ be a dialgebra and $B \subset D$. Any diword of $D$ in the set $B$ is equal to a diword in $B$ of the form*

$$(u) = b_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash b_{-1} \vdash b_0 \dashv b_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv b_n \tag{1}$$

*where $b_i \in B,\ -m \le i \le n,\ m \ge 0,\ n \ge 0$. Any bracketing of the right side of (1) gives the same result.* $\square$

**Definition 2.4** *Let $X$ be a set. A free dialgebra $D(X)$ generated by $X$ over $k$ is defined in a usual way by the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & D(X) \arrow[dl, "\exists!\varphi^* \quad \textit{(homomorphism)}"] \\ D & \end{tikzcd}$$

*where $D$ is any dialgebra.*

In [11], a construction of a free dialgebra is given.

**Proposition 2.5** *([11]) Let $D(X)$ be free dialgebra generated by $X$ over $k$. Any diword in $X$ is equal to the unique diword in $X$ of the form*

$$[u] = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n = x_{-m} \cdots x_{-1} \dot{x}_0 x_1 \cdots x_n \tag{2}$$

*where $x_i \in X,\ m \ge 0,\ n \ge 0$. We call $[u]$ a normal diword (in $X$) with the associative word $u, u \in X^*$. Clearly, if $[u] = [v]$, then $u = v$. In (2), $x_0$ is called the center of the normal diword $[u]$. Let $[u],\ [v]$ be two normal diwords, then $[u] \vdash [v]$ is the normal diword $[uv]$ with the center at the center of $[v]$. Accordingly, $[u] \dashv [v]$ is the normal diword $[uv]$ with the center at the center of $[u]$.* $\square$

**Example 2.6**

$$(x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1) \vdash (y_{-1} \vdash y_0 \dashv y_1) = x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \vdash x_1 \vdash y_{-1} \vdash y_0 \dashv y_1,$$

$$(x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1) \dashv (y_{-1} \vdash y_0 \dashv y_1) = x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv y_{-1} \dashv y_0 \dashv y_1. \ \square$$

**Definition 2.7** *A $k$-linear space $L$ equipped with bilinear multiplication $[,]$ is called a Leibniz algebra if for any $a, b, c \in L$,*

$$[[a, b], c] = [[a, c], b] + [a, [b, c]]$$

*i.e., the Jacobi identity is valid in $L$.*

It is clear that if $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$ is a dialgebra then $D^{(-)} = (D, [,])$ is a Leibniz algebra, where $[a, b] = a \dashv b - b \vdash a$ for any $a, b \in D$.

# 3 Composition-Diamond lemma for dialgebras

Let $X$ be a well ordered set, $D(X)$ the free dialgebra over $k$, $X^*$ the free monoid generated by $X$ and $[X^*]$ the set of normal diwords in $X$. Let us define deg-lex order on $[X^*]$ in the following way: for any $[u], [v] \in [X^*]$,

$$[u] < [v] \Longleftrightarrow wt([u]) < wt([v]) \ \text{ lexicographicaly,}$$

where

$$wt([u]) = (n + m + 1, m, x_{-m}, \cdots, x_0, \cdots, x_n)$$

if $[u] = x_{-m} \cdots x_{-1}\dot{x}_0 x_1 \cdots x_n$. It is easy to see that the order $<$ is monomial in the sense:

$$[u] < [v] \Longrightarrow x \vdash [u] < x \vdash [v], \ [u] \dashv x < [v] \dashv x, \ \text{ for any } \ x \in X.$$

Any polynomial $f \in D(X)$ has the form

$$f = \sum_{[u] \in [X^*]} f([u])[u] = \alpha[\overline{f}] + \sum \alpha_i [u_i],$$

where $[\overline{f}]$, $[u_i]$ are normal diwords in $X$, $[\overline{f}] > [u_i]$, $\alpha$, $\alpha_i$, $f([u]) \in k$. We call $[\overline{f}]$ the leading term of $f$. Denote by $suppf$ the set $\{[u] | f([u]) \neq 0\}$ and $deg(f)$ by $|[\overline{f}]|$. $f$ is called monic if $\alpha = 1$. $f$ is called left (right) normed if $f = \sum \alpha_i u_i \dot{x}_i$ $(f = \sum \alpha_i \dot{x}_i u_i)$, where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $x_i \in X$ and $u_i \in X^*$. The same terminology will be used for normal diwords.

If $[u]$, $[v]$ are both left normed or both right normed, then it is clear that for any $w \in [X^*]$,

$$[u] < [v] \Longrightarrow [u] \vdash w < [v] \vdash w, \ w \vdash [u] < w \vdash [v], [u] \dashv w < [v] \dashv w, \ w \dashv [u] < w \dashv [v].$$

Let $S \subset D(X)$. By an $S$-diword $g$ we will mean $g$ is a diword in $\{X \cup S\}$ with only one occurrence of $s \in S$. If this is the case and $g = (asb)$ for some $a, b \in X^*$ and $s \in S$, we also call $g$ an $s$-diword.

From Proposition 2.3 it follows easily that any $S$-diword is equal to

$$[asb] = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n|_{x_k \mapsto s} \tag{3}$$

where $-m \leq k \leq n$, $x_k \in X$, $s \in S$. To be more precise, $[asb] = [a\dot{s}b]$ if $k = 0$; $[asb] = [asb_1\dot{x_0}b_2]$ if $k < 0$ and $[asb] = [a_1\dot{x_0}a_2sb]$ if $k > 0$. Note that any bracketing of $[asb]$ gives the same result, for example, $[asb] = [(a_1a_2)sb] = [a_1(a_2s)b]$ if $a = a_1a_2$. If the center of the $s$-diword $[asb]$ is in $a$, then we denote by $[\dot{a}sb] = [a_1\dot{x_0}a_2sb]$. Similarly, $[as\dot{b}] = [asb_1\dot{x_0}b_2]$ (of course, some $a_i, b_i$ may be empty).

**Definition 3.1** *The $S$-diword (3) is called a normal $S$-diword if one of the following conditions holds:*

*(i)* $k = 0$.

*(ii)* $k < 0$ *and $s$ is left normed.*

*(iii)* $k > 0$ *and $s$ is right normed.*

*We call a normal $s$-diword $[asb]$ a left (right) normed $s$-diword, if both $s$ and $[asb]$ are left (right) normed. In particulary, $s$ is a left (right) normed $s$-diword, if $s$ is left (right) normed polynomial.*

The following lemma follows from the above properties of the order of normal diwords.

**Lemma 3.2** *For a normal $S$-diword $[asb]$, the leading term of $[asb]$ is equal to $[a[\overline{s}]b]$, that is, $\overline{[asb]} = [a[\overline{s}]b]$. More specifically, if*

$$[asb] = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n|_{x_k \mapsto s},$$

*then*

$$\overline{x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash s \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n} = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash [\overline{s}] \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n$$

$$\overline{x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash s \vdash \cdots \vdash x_0 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n} = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash [\overline{s}] \vdash \cdots \vdash x_0 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n$$

$$\overline{x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_0 \dashv \cdots \dashv s \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n} = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_0 \dashv \cdots \dashv [\overline{s}] \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n \quad \square$$

For convenience, we denote $[a[\overline{s}]b]$ by $[a\overline{s}b]$ for a normal $S$-diword $[asb]$.

Now, we define compositions of dipolynomials in $D(X)$.

**Definition 3.3** *Let the order $<$ be as before and $f, g \in D(X)$ with $f, g$ monic.*

1) *Composition of left (right) multiplication.*

   *Let $f$ be a not right normed polynomial and $x \in X$. Then $x \dashv f$ is called the composition of left multiplication. Clearly, $x \dashv f$ is a right normed polynomial (or 0).*

   *Let $f$ be a not left normed polynomial and $x \in X$. Then $f \vdash x$ is called the composition of right multiplication. Clearly, $f \vdash x$ is a left normed polynomial (or 0).*

*2) Composition of including.*

*Let*

$$[w] = [\overline{f}] = [a\overline{g}b],$$

*where $[agb]$ is a normal $g$-diword. Then*

$$(f, g)_{[w]} = f - [agb]$$

*is called the composition of including. The transformation $f \mapsto f - [agb]$ is called the elimination of leading diword (ELW) of $g$ in $f$.*

*3) Composition of intersection.*

*Let*

$$[w] = [\overline{f}b] = [a\overline{g}], \ |\overline{f}| + |\overline{g}| > |w|,$$

*where $[fb]$ is a normal $f$-diword and $[ag]$ a normal $g$-diword. Then*

$$(f, g)_{[w]} = [fb] - [ag]$$

*is called the composition of intersection.*

**Remark** In the Definition 3.3, for the case of 2) or 3), we have $\overline{(f, g)_{[w]}} < [w]$. For the case of 1), $deg(x \dashv f) \leq deg(f) + 1$ and $deg(f \vdash x) \leq deg(f) + 1$.

**Definition 3.4** *Let the order $<$ be as before, $S \subset D(X)$ a monic set and $f, g \in S$.*

*1) Let $x \dashv f$ be a composition of left multiplication. Then $x \dashv f$ is called trivial modulo $S$, denoted by $x \dashv f \equiv 0 \ mod(S)$, if*

$$x \dashv f = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in [X^*]$, $s_i \in S$, $[a_i s_i b_i]$ right normed $s_i$-diword and $|[a_i \overline{s_i} b_i]| \leq deg(x \dashv f)$.*

*Let $f \vdash x$ be a composition of right multiplication. Then $f \vdash x$ is called trivial modulo $S$, denoted by $f \vdash x \equiv 0 \ mod(S)$, if*

$$f \vdash x = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in [X^*]$, $s_i \in S$, $[a_i s_i b_i]$ left normed $s_i$-diword and $|[a_i \overline{s_i} b_i]| \leq deg(f \vdash x)$.*

*2) Composition $(f, g)_{[w]}$ of including (intersection) is called trivial modulo $(S, [w])$, denoted by $(f, g)_{[w]} \equiv 0 \ mod(S, [w])$, if*

$$(f, g)_{[w]} = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in [X^*]$, $s_i \in S$, $[a_i s_i b_i]$ normal $s_i$-diword, $[a_i \overline{s_i} b_i] < [w]$ and each $[a_i s_i b_i]$ is right (left) normed $s_i$-diword whenever both $f$ and $[agb]$ ($[fb]$ and $[ag]$) are right (left) normed $S$-diwords.*

The following proposition is useful when one checks the compositions of left and right multiplications.

**Proposition 3.5** *Let the order $<$ be as before, $S \subset D(X)$ a monic set and $f \in S$. Let $x \dashv f$ be a composition of left multiplication. Then $x \dashv f \equiv 0$ mod$(S)$ if and only if*

$$x \dashv f = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ a_i, b_i \in X^*,\ s_i \in S$ is right normed, $[a_i s_i b_i] = [\dot{a}_i s_i b_i]$ and $|[a_i \overline{s_i} b_i]| \leq deg(x \dashv f)$.*

*Accordingly, for the composition of right multiplication, we have a similar conclusion.*

**Proof** Assume that $x \dashv f = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i]$, where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ a_i, b_i \in [X^*],\ [a_i s_i b_i] = [\dot{a}_i s_i b_i],\ s_i \in S$ right normed and $|[a_i \overline{s_i} b_i]| \leq deg(x \dashv f)$. Then, we have the expression

$$x \dashv f = [\dot{x} f] = \sum_{I_1} \alpha_p [\dot{x}_p a_p s_p b_p] + \sum_{I_2} \beta_q [a_q \dot{x}_q a'_q s_q b_q],$$

where each $\alpha_p, \beta_q \in k,\ x_p, x_q \in X,\ a_p, a_q, a'_q, b_p, b_q \in X^*,\ a_q \neq 1,\ s_p, s_q \in S$ are right normed. From this it follows that $\sum_{I_2} \beta_q [a_q \dot{x}_q a'_q s_q b_p] = 0$. Now, the results follow. $\square$

**Definition 3.6** *Let $S \subset D(X)$ be a monic set and the order $<$ as before. We call the set $S$ a Gröbner-Shirshov set (basis) in $D(X)$ if any composition of polynomials in $S$ is trivial modulo $S$ (and $[w]$).*

The following two lemmas play key role in the proof of Theorem 3.9.

**Lemma 3.7** *Let $S \subset D(X)$ and $[asb]$ an $S$-diword. Assume that each composition of right or left multiplication is trivial modulo $S$. Then, $[asb]$ has a presentation:*

$$[asb] = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ s_i \in S,\ a_i, b_i \in [X^*]$ and each $[a_i s_i b_i]$ is normal $s_i$-diword.*

**Proof** Following Proposition 2.3, we assume that

$$[asb] = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n|_{x_k \mapsto s}.$$

There are three cases to consider.

Case 1. $k = 0$. Then $[asb]$ is a normal $S$-diword.

Case 2. $k < 0$. Then $[asb] = a \vdash (s \vdash x_{k+1}) \vdash b, k < -1$ or $[asb] = a \vdash (s \vdash x_0) \dashv b$. If $s$ is left normed then $[asb]$ is a normal $S$-diword. If $s$ is not left normed then for the composition $s \vdash x_{k+1}\ \ (k < 0)$ of right multiplication, we have

$$s \vdash x_{k+1} = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ a_i, b_i \in [X^*],\ s_i \in S$ and $[a_i s_i b_i]$ is left normed $s_i$-diword. Then

$$[asb] = \sum \alpha_i (a \vdash [a_i s_i b_i] \vdash b)$$

or

$$[asb] = \sum \alpha_i (a \vdash [a_i s_i b_i] \dashv b)$$

is a linear combination of normal $S$-diwords.

Case 3. $k > 0$ is similar to the Case 2. $\square$

**Lemma 3.8** *Let $S \subset D(X)$ and each composition $(f,g)_{[w]}$ in $S$ of including (intersection) trivial modulo $(S,[w])$. Let $[a_1 s_1 b_1]$ and $[a_2 s_2 b_2]$ be normal $S$-diwords such that $[w] = [a_1 \bar{s}_1 b_1] = [a_2 \bar{s}_2 b_2]$. Then,*

$$[a_1 s_1 b_1] \equiv [a_2 s_2 b_2] \quad mod(S,[w]).$$

**Proof** Because $a_1 \bar{s}_1 b_1 = a_2 \bar{s}_2 b_2$ as words, there are three cases to consider.

Case 1. Subwords $\overline{s_1}, \overline{s_2}$ have empty intersection. Assume, for example, that $b_1 = b\overline{s_2}b_2$ and $a_2 = a_1\overline{s_1}b$. Because any normal $S$-diword may be bracketing in any way, we have

$$[a_2 s_2 b_2] - [a_1 s_1 b_1] = (a_1 s_1 (b(s_2 - [\overline{s_2}])b_2)) - ((a_1(s_1 - [\overline{s_1}])b)s_2 b_2).$$

For any $t \in supp(s_2 - \overline{s_2})$ $(t \in supp(s_1 - \overline{s_1}))$, we prove that $(a_1 s_1 b t b_2)$ $((a_1 t b s_2 b_2))$ is a normal $s_1$-diword ($s_2$-diword ). There are five cases to consider.

1.1 $[w] = [\dot{a}_1 \overline{s_1} b \overline{s_2} b_2]$;

1.2 $[w] = [a_1 \dot{\overline{s_1}} b \overline{s_2} b_2]$;

1.3 $[w] = [a_1 \overline{s_1} \dot{b} \overline{s_2} b_2]$;

1.4 $[w] = [a_1 \overline{s_1} b \dot{\overline{s_2}} b_2]$;

1.5 $[w] = [a_1 \overline{s_1} b \overline{s_2} \dot{b}_2]$.

For 1.1, since $[a_1 s_1 b_1]$ and $[a_2 s_2 b_2]$ are normal $S$-diwords, both $s_1$ and $s_2$ are right normed by the definition, in particular, $t$ is right normed. It follows that $(a_1 s_1 b t b_2) = [\dot{a}_1 s_1 b t b_2]$ is a normal $s_1$-diword.

For 1.2, it is clear that $(a_1 s_1 b t b_2)$ is a normal $s_1$-diword and $t$ is right normed.

For 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5, since $[a_1 s_1 b_1]$ is normal $s_1$-diword, $s_1$ is left normed by the definition, which implies that $(a_1 s_1 b t b_2)$ is a normal $s_1$-diword. Moreover, $t$ is right normed, if 1.3, and left normed, if 1.5.

Thus, for all cases, we have $\overline{[a_1 s_1 b t b_2]} = [a_1 \overline{s_1} b t b_2] < [a_1 \overline{s_1} b \overline{s_2} b_2] = [w]$.

Similarly, for any $t \in supp(s_1 - \overline{s_1})$, $(a_1 t b s_2 b_2)$ is a normal $s_2$-diword and $[a_1 t b \overline{s_2} b_2] < [w]$.

Case 2. Subwords $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ have non-empty intersection $c$. Assume, for example, that $b_1 = bb_2,\ a_2 = a_1 a,\ w_1 = \overline{s_1} b = a \overline{s_2} = acb$.

There are following five cases to consider:

2.1 $[w] = [\dot{a}_1 \overline{s_1} b b_2]$;

2.2 $[w] = [a_1 \overline{s_1} b \dot{b}_2]$;

2.3 $[w] = [a_1 \dot{a} c b b_2]$;

2.4 $[w] = [a_1 a \dot{c} b b_2]$;

2.5 $[w]=[a_1ac\dot{b}b_2]$.

Then

$$[a_2s_2b_2]-[a_1s_1b_1]=(a_1([as_2]-[s_1b])b_2)=(a_1(s_1,s_2)_{[w_1]}b_2),$$

where $[w_1]=[acb]$ is as follows:

2.1 $[w_1]$ is right normed;

2.2 $[w_1]$ is left normed;

2.3 $[w_1]=[\dot{a}cb]$;

2.4 $[w_1]=[a\dot{c}b]$;

2.5 $[w_1]=[ac\dot{b}]$.

Since $S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis, there exist $\beta_j\in k,\ u_j,v_j\in[X^*],\ s_j\in S$ such that $[s_1b]-[as_2]=\sum_j\beta_j[u_js_jv_j]$, where each $[u_js_jv_j]$ is normal $S$-diword and $[u_j\overline{s_j}v_j]<[w_1]=[acb]$. Therefore,

$$[a_2s_2b_2]-[a_1s_1b_1]=\sum_j\beta_j(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2).$$

Now, we prove that each $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)$ is normal $s_j$-diword and $\overline{(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)}<[w]=[a_1\overline{s_1}bb_2]$.

For 2.1, since $[\dot{a}_1s_1bb_2]$ and $[\dot{a}_1as_2b_2]$ are normal $S$-diwords, both $[s_1b]$ and $[as_2]$ are right normed $S$-diwords. Then, by the definition, each $[u_js_jv_j]$ is right normed $S$-diword, and so each $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)=[\dot{a}_1u_js_jv_jb_2]$ is a normal $S$-diword.

For 2.2, both $[s_1b]$ and $[as_2]$ must be left normed $S$-diwords. Then, by the definition, each $[u_js_jv_j]$ is left normed $S$-diword, and so each $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)=[a_1u_js_jv_j\dot{b}_2]$ is a normal $S$-diword.

For 2.3, 2.4 or 2.5, by noting that $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)=((a_1)\vdash[u_js_jv_j]\dashv(b_2))$ and $[u_js_jv_j]$ is normal $S$-diword, $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)$ is also normal $S$-diword.

Now, for all cases, we have $\overline{[a_1u_js_jv_jb_2]}=[a_1u_j\overline{s_j}v_jb_2]<[w]=[a_1acbb_2]$.

Case 3. One of the subwords $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ contains another as a subword. Assume, for example, that $b_2=bb_1,\ a_2=a_1a,\ w_1=\overline{s_1}=a\overline{s_2}b$.

Again there are following five cases to consider:

2.1 $[w]=[\dot{a}_1a\overline{s_2}bb_1]$;

2.2 $[w]=[a_1a\overline{s_2}b\dot{b}_1]$;

2.3 $[w]=[a_1\dot{a}\overline{s_2}bb_1]$;

2.4 $[w]=[a_1a\dot{\overline{s_2}}bb_1]$;

2.5 $[w]=[a_1a\overline{s_2}\dot{b}b_1]$.

Then

$$[a_1s_1b_1]-[a_2s_2b_2]=(a_1(s_1-as_2b)b_1)=(a_1(s_1,s_2)_{[w_1]}b_1)$$

It is similar to the proof of the Case 2, that we have $[a_1s_1b_1]\equiv[a_2s_2b_2]\quad mod(S,[w])$. $\square$

The following theorem is the main result.

**Theorem 3.9** *(Composition-Diamond Lemma) Let $S\subset D(X)$ be a monic set and the order $<$ as before. Then $(i)\Rightarrow(ii)\Leftrightarrow(ii)'\Leftrightarrow(iii)\Rightarrow(iv)$, where* *(i)* *$S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.*

*(ii)* *For any $f \in D(X)$, $0 \neq f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow \overline{f} = [a\overline{s}b]$ for some $s \in S$, $a, b \in [X^*]$ and $[asb]$ a normal $S$-diword.*

*(ii)′* *For any $f \in D(X)$, if $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$, then*

$$f = \alpha_1[a_1s_1b_1]+\alpha_2[a_2s_2b_2]+\cdots+\alpha_n[a_ns_nb_n] \quad \text{with } [a_1\overline{s_1}b_1] > [a_2\overline{s_2}b_2] > \cdots > [a_n\overline{s_n}b_n],$$

*where $[a_is_ib_i]$ is normal $S$-diword, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.*

*(iii)* *The set*

$$Irr(S) = \{u \in [X^*] | u \neq [a\overline{s}b], s \in S, a, b \in [X^*], \ [asb] \text{ is normal } S\text{-diword}\}$$

*is a linear basis of the dialgebra $D(X|S)$.*

*(iv)* *For each composition $(f, g)_{[w]}$ of including (intersection), we have*

$$(f, g)_{[w]} = \sum \alpha_i[a_is_ib_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in [X^*]$, $s_i \in S$, $[a_is_ib_i]$ normal $S$-diword and $[a_i\overline{s_i}b_i] < [w]$.*

**Proof** *(i)* $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)*. Let $S$ be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis and $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$. We can assume, by Lemma 3.7, that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i[a_is_ib_i],$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in [X^*]$, $s_i \in S$ and $[a_is_ib_i]$ normal $S$-diword. Let

$$[w_i] = [a_i\overline{s_i}b_i], \ [w_1] = [w_2] = \cdots = [w_l] > [w_{l+1}] \geq \cdots$$

We will use the induction on $l$ and $[w_1]$ to prove that $\overline{f} = [a\overline{s}b]$, for some $s \in S$ and $a, b \in [X^*]$. If $l = 1$, then $\overline{f} = \overline{[a_1s_1b_1]} = [a_1\overline{s_1}b_1]$ and hence the result holds. Assume that $l \geq 2$. Then, by Lemma 3.8, we have $[a_1s_1b_1] \equiv [a_2s_2b_2] \ \ mod(S, [w_1])$.

Thus, if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$ or $l > 2$, then the result holds. For the case $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ and $l = 2$, we use the induction on $[w_1]$. Now, the result follows.

*(ii)* $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)′*. Assume (ii) and $f \in Id(S)$. Let $f = \alpha_1\overline{f} + \sum_{[u_i]<\overline{f}} \alpha_i[u_i]$. Then, by (ii), $\overline{f} = [a_1\overline{s_1}b_1]$, where $[a_1s_1b_1]$ is a normal $S$-diword. Therefore,

$$f_1 = f - \alpha_1[a_1s_1b_1], \ \overline{f_1} < \overline{f}, \ f_1 \in Id(S).$$

Now, by using induction on $\overline{f}$, we have *(ii)′*.

*(ii)′* $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)*. This part is clear.

*(ii)′* $\Rightarrow$ *(iii)*. Assume *(ii)′*. We firstly prove that, for any $h \in D(X)$, we have

$$h = \sum_{I_1} \alpha_i[u_i] + \sum_{I_2} \beta_j[a_js_jb_j] \tag{4}$$

where $[u_i] \in Irr(S)$, $i \in I_1, [a_js_jb_j]$ normal $S$-diwords, $j \in I_2$.

Let $h = \alpha_1\overline{h} + \cdots$. We use the induction on $\overline{h}$.

If $\overline{h} \in Irr(S)$, then take $[u_1] = \overline{h}$ and $h_1 = h - \alpha_1[u_1]$. Clearly, $\overline{h_1} < \overline{h}$.

If $\overline{h} \notin Irr(S)$, then $\overline{h} = [a_1\overline{s_1}b_1]$ with $[a_1s_1b_1]$ a normal $S$-diword. Let $h_1 = h - \beta_1[a_1s_1b_1]$. Then $\overline{h_1} < \overline{h}$.

Suppose that $0 \neq \sum \alpha_i[u_i] = \sum \beta_j[a_js_jb_j]$, where $[u_1] > [u_2] > \cdots$ , $[u_i] \in Irr(S)$ and $[a_1\overline{s_1}b_1] > [a_2\overline{s_2}b_2] > \cdots$. Then, $[u_1] = [a_1\overline{s_1}b_1]$, a contradiction.

Now, (iii) follows.

*(iii) ⇒ (ii) and (iv).* Assume (iii). For any $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$, $\bar{f} \notin Irr(S)$ implies that $\bar{f} = [a\bar{s}b]$, where $[asb]$ is a normal $S$-diword. This shows (ii).

By noting that $(f,g)_{[w]} \in Id(S)$ and by using (4) and ELW, we have

$$(f,g)_{[w]} = \sum \alpha_i[a_is_ib_i]$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in [X^*]$, $s_i \in S$, $[a_is_ib_i]$ normal $S$-diword and $[a_i\overline{s_i}b_i] < [w]$. $\square$

# 4 Applications

Now, by using Theorem 3.9, we obtain a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for the universal enveloping algebra of a Leibniz algebra.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Leibniz algebra over a field $k$ with the product $\{,\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the set $\{\{a,a\}, \{a,b\} + \{b,a\} \mid a, b \in \mathcal{L}\}$. Let $\{x_i|i \in I_0\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $X = \{x_i|i \in I\}$ a linearly ordered basis of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $I_0 \subseteq I$. Let $D(X|x_i \dashv x_j - x_j \vdash x_i - \{x_i, x_j\})$ be the dialgebra and the order $<$ on $[X^*]$ as before. Then*

*(i)* $D\langle X|x_i \dashv x_j - x_j \vdash x_i - \{x_i, x_j\}\rangle = D(X|S)$, *where $S$ consists of the following polynomials:*

1. $f_{ji} = x_j \vdash x_i - x_i \dashv x_j + \{x_i, x_j\}$ $\quad (i, j \in I)$
2. $f_{ji\vdash t} = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t - x_i \vdash x_j \vdash x_t + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash x_t$ $\quad (i, j, t \in I,\ j > i)$
3. $h_{i_0\vdash t} = x_{i_0} \vdash x_t$ $\quad (i_0 \in I_0,\ t \in I)$
4. $f_{t\dashv ji} = x_t \dashv x_j \dashv x_i - x_t \dashv x_i \dashv x_j + x_t \dashv \{x_i, x_j\}$ $\quad (i, j, t \in I,\ j > i)$
5. $h_{t\dashv i_0} = x_t \dashv x_{i_0}$ $\quad (i_0 \in I_0,\ t \in I)$

*(ii) $S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.*

*(iii) The set*

$$\{x_j \dashv x_{i_1} \dashv \cdots \dashv x_{i_k} \mid j \in I, i_p \in I - I_0,\ 1 \leq p \leq k,\ i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k,\ k \geq 0\}$$

*is a linear basis of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{L}) = D(X|S)$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}$ can be embedded into $U(\mathcal{L})$.*

**Proof** (i) By using the following

$$f_{ji\vdash t} = f_{ji} \vdash x_t \;\text{ and }\; f_{ji} \vdash x_t + f_{ij} \vdash x_t = (\{x_i, x_j\} + \{x_j, x_i\}) \vdash x_t,$$

we have 2 and 3 are in $Id(f_{ji})$. By symmetry, 4 and 5 are in $Id(f_{ji})$. This shows (i).

(ii) We will prove that all compositions in $S$ are trivial modulo $S$. We denote by $(i \wedge j)$ the composition of the polynomials of type $i$ and type $j$. For convenience, we extend linearly the functions $f_{ji}$, $f_{ji\vdash t}$, $f_{t\dashv ji}$, $h_{i_0\vdash t}$ and $h_{t\dashv i_0}$ to $f_{j\{p,q\}}$ ($f_{\{p,q\}i}$), $f_{ji\vdash\{p,q\}}$ and $h_{\{p,q\}\dashv i_0}$, etc respectively, where, for example, if $\{x_p, x_q\} = \sum \alpha_{pq}^s x_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{j\{p,q\}} &= x_j \vdash \{x_p, x_q\} - \{x_p, x_q\} \dashv x_j + \{\{x_p, x_q\}, x_j\} = \sum \alpha_{pq}^s f_{js}, \\ f_{ji\vdash\{p,q\}} &= \sum \alpha_{pq}^s (x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_s - x_i \vdash x_j \vdash x_s + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash x_s) = f_{ji} \vdash \{x_p, x_q\}, \\ h_{\{p,q\}\dashv i_0} &= \sum \alpha_{pq}^s h_{s\dashv i_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Jacobi identity in $\mathcal{L}$, for any $a, b, c \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\{\{a, b\}, c\} = \{a, \{b, c\}\} + \{\{a, c\}, b\} \tag{5}$$

we have

$$\{a, \{b, b\}\} = 0 \;\; \textit{and} \;\; \{a, \{b, c\} + \{c, b\}\} = 0$$

and in particular, for any $i_0 \in I_0$, $j \in I$,

$$\{x_j, x_{i_0}\} = 0 \tag{6}$$

and

$$\{x_{i_0}, x_j\} \in \mathcal{L}_0 \tag{7}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{L}_0$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0$ is a Lie algebra.

Since $\{x_{i_0}, x_j\} = \{x_{i_0}, x_j\} + \{x_j, x_{i_0}\} \in \mathcal{L}_0$, the (7) follows.

The formulas (5), (6) and (7) are useful in the sequel.

In $S$, all the compositions are as follows.

1) Compositions of left or right multiplication.

All possible compositions in $S$ of left multiplication are ones related to 1, 2 and 3.

By noting that for any $s, i, j, t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_s \dashv f_{ji} &= f_{s\dashv ji} \qquad (j > i), \\ x_s \dashv f_{ji} &= -f_{s\dashv ij} + x_s \dashv (\{x_i, x_j\} + \{x_j, x_i\}) \qquad (j < i), \\ x_s \dashv f_{ii} &= x_s \dashv \{x_i, x_i\}, \\ x_s \dashv f_{ji\vdash t} &= f_{s\dashv ji} \dashv x_t \;\; (j > i) \qquad \text{and} \\ x_s \dashv h_{i_0\vdash t} &= h_{s\dashv i_0} \dashv x_t, \end{aligned}$$

it is clear that all cases are trivial modulo $S$.

By symmetry, all compositions in $S$ of right multiplication are trivial modulo $S$.

2) Compositions of including or intersection.

All possible compositions of including or intersection are as follows.

$(1\wedge 3)$ $w=x_{i_0}\vdash x_i$ $(i_0\in I_0)$. We have, by (6),

$$(f_{i_0 i}, h_{i_0\vdash i})_w=-x_i\dashv x_{i_0}+\{x_i,x_{i_0}\}=-h_{i\dashv i_0}.$$

$(1\wedge 4)$ $w=x_j\vdash x_i\dashv x_q\dashv x_p$ $(q>p)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&(f_{ji}, f_{i\dashv qp})_w\\ =\ & -x_i\dashv x_j\dashv x_q\dashv x_p+\{x_i,x_j\}\dashv x_q\dashv x_p+x_j\vdash x_i\dashv x_p\dashv x_p-x_j\vdash x_i\dashv\{x_p,x_q\}\\ =\ & -x_i\dashv f_{j\dashv qp}+f_{\{i,j\}\dashv qp}+f_{ji}\dashv x_p\dashv x_q-f_{ji}\dashv\{x_p,x_q\}.\end{aligned}$$

$(1\wedge 5)$ $w=x_j\vdash x_i\dashv x_{i_0}$ $(i_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$(f_{ji}, h_{i\dashv i_0})_w=-x_i\dashv x_j\dashv x_{i_0}+\{x_i,x_j\}\dashv x_{i_0}=-x_i\dashv h_{j\dashv i_0}+h_{\{i,j\}\dashv i_0}.$$

$(2\wedge 1)$ There are two cases to consider: $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t$ and $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\vdash x_p$.

For $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t$ $(j>i)$, by (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f_{ji\vdash t}, f_{it})_w &= -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t+x_j\vdash x_t\dashv x_i-x_j\vdash\{x_t,x_i\}\\ &= -x_i\vdash f_{jt}+f_{\{i,j\}t}+f_{jt}\dashv x_i-f_{j\{t,i\}}+f_{i\{t,j\}}-f_{it}\dashv x_j+f_{t\dashv ji}.\end{aligned}$$

For $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\vdash x_p$ $(j>i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(f_{ji\vdash t}, f_{tp})_w\\ =\ & -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t\vdash x_p+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\vdash x_p+x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_p\dashv x_t-x_j\vdash x_i\vdash\{x_p,x_t\}\\ =\ & -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash f_{tp}+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash f_{tp}+f_{ji\vdash p}\dashv x_t-f_{ji\vdash\{p,t\}}.\end{aligned}$$

$(2\wedge 2)$ There are two cases to consider: $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\vdash x_s\vdash x_p$ and $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\vdash x_p$.

For $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\vdash x_s\vdash x_p$ $(j>i, t>s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(f_{ji\vdash t}, f_{ts\vdash p})_w\\ =\ & -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t\vdash x_s\vdash x_p+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\vdash x_s\vdash x_p+x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_s\vdash x_t\vdash x_p\\ & -x_j\vdash x_i\vdash\{x_s,x_t\}\vdash x_p\\ =\ & -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash f_{ts\vdash p}+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash f_{ts\vdash p}+f_{ji\vdash s}\vdash x_t\vdash x_p-f_{ji\vdash\{s,t\}}\vdash x_p.\end{aligned}$$

For $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\vdash x_p$ $(j>i>t)$, suppose that

$$\{x_i,x_j\}=\sum_{m\in I_1}\alpha_{ij}^m x_m+\alpha_{ij}^t x_t+\sum_{n\in I_2}\alpha_{ij}^n x_n\ (m<t<n).$$

Denote by

$$B_{t\vdash\{i,j\}\vdash p}=x_t\vdash\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_p-\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\vdash x_p-\{x_t,\{x_i,x_j\}\}\vdash x_p.$$

Then

$$B_{t\vdash\{i,j\}\vdash p}=\sum_{m\in I_1}\alpha_{ij}^m f_{tm\vdash p}-\sum_{n\in I_2}\alpha_{ij}^n f_{nt\vdash p}-\sum_{q\in I_0}\beta_q h_{q\vdash p}$$

is a linear combination of normal $s$-diwords of length 2 or 3, where

$$\sum_{q\in I_0}\beta_q x_q=\sum_{m\in I_1}\alpha_{ij}^m(\{x_t,x_m\}+\{x_m,x_t\})+\alpha_{ij}^t\{x_t,x_t\}.$$

Now, by (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f_{ji\vdash t},f_{it\vdash p})_w\\
=\ &-x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t\vdash x_p+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\vdash x_p+x_j\vdash x_t\vdash x_i\vdash x_p-x_j\vdash\{x_t,x_i\}\vdash x_p\\
=\ &-x_i\vdash f_{jt\vdash p}-B_{t\vdash\{i,j\}\vdash p}+f_{jt\vdash i}\vdash x_p-B_{j\vdash\{t,i\}\vdash p}+\sum_{l\in I_0}\gamma_l h_{l\vdash p}\\
&+B_{i\vdash\{t,j\}\vdash p}-f_{it\vdash j}\vdash x_p+x_t\vdash f_{ji\vdash p},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{l\in I_0}\gamma_l x_l=-(\{x_j,\{x_t,x_i\}\}+\{\{x_t,x_i\},x_j\})+(\{x_i,\{x_t,x_j\}\}+\{\{x_t,x_j\},x_i\})$.

$(2\wedge 3)$ There are three cases to consider: $w=x_j\vdash x_{i_0}\vdash x_t$ $(i_0\in I_0)$, $w=x_{j_0}\vdash x_i\vdash x_t$ $(j_0\in I_0)$ and $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_n$ $(t_0\in I_0)$.

Case 1. $w=x_j\vdash x_{i_0}\vdash x_t$ $(j>i_0,\ i_0\in I_0)$. By (7), we can assume that $\{x_{i_0},x_j\}=\sum_{l\in I_0}\gamma_l x_l$. Then, we have

$$(f_{ji_0\vdash t},h_{i_0\vdash t})_w=-x_{i_0}\vdash x_j\vdash x_t+\{x_{i_0},x_j\}\vdash x_t=-h_{i_0\vdash j}\vdash x_t+\sum_{l\in I_0}\gamma_l h_{l\vdash t}.$$

Case 2. $w=x_{j_0}\vdash x_i\vdash x_t$ $(j_0>i,j_0\in I_0)$. By (6), we have

$$(f_{j_0i\vdash t},h_{j_0\vdash i})_w=-x_i\vdash x_{j_0}\vdash x_t+\{x_i,x_{j_0}\}\vdash x_t=-x_i\vdash h_{j_0\vdash t}.$$

Case 3. $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_n$ $(j>i,t_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_{ji\vdash t_0},h_{t_0\vdash n})_w&=-x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_n+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_n\\
&=(-x_i\vdash x_j+\{x_i,x_j\})\vdash h_{t_0\vdash n}.
\end{aligned}$$

$(2\wedge 4)$ $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\dashv x_q\dashv x_p$ $(j>i,q>p)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f_{ji\vdash t},f_{t\dashv qp})_w\\
=\ &-x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t\dashv x_q\dashv x_p+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\dashv x_q\dashv x_p\\
&+x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\dashv x_p\dashv x_q-x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\dashv\{x_p,x_q\}\\
=\ &-x_i\vdash x_j\vdash f_{t\dashv qp}+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash f_{t\dashv qp}+f_{ji\vdash t}\dashv x_p\dashv x_q-f_{ji\vdash t}\dashv\{x_p,x_q\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$(2\wedge 5)$ $w=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\dashv x_{n_0}$ $(j>i,n_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_{ji\vdash t},h_{t\dashv n_0})_w&=-x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t\dashv x_{n_0}+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\dashv x_{n_0}\\
&=(-x_i\vdash x_j+\{x_i,x_j\})\vdash h_{t\dashv n_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

$(3\wedge 1)$ There are two cases to consider: $w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t$ $(n_0\in I_0)$ and $w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\vdash x_s$ $(n_0\in I_0)$.

For $w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t$ $(n_0\in I_0)$, we have

$$(h_{n_0\vdash t},f_{n_0t})_w=x_t\dashv x_{n_0}-\{x_t,x_{n_0}\}=h_{t\dashv n_0}.$$

For $w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\vdash x_s$ $(n_0\in I_0)$, we have

$$(h_{n_0\vdash t},f_{ts})_w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_s\dashv x_t-x_{n_0}\vdash\{x_s,x_t\}=h_{n_0\vdash s}\dashv x_t-h_{n_0\vdash\{s,t\}}.$$

$(3\wedge 2)\quad w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\vdash x_s\vdash x_p\quad (t>s, n_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(h_{n_0\vdash t}, f_{ts\vdash p})_w &= x_{n_0}\vdash x_s\vdash x_t\vdash x_p-x_{n_0}\vdash\{x_s,x_t\}\vdash x_p\\ &= h_{n_0\vdash s}\vdash x_t\vdash x_p-h_{n_0\vdash\{s,t\}}\vdash x_p.\end{aligned}$$

$(3\wedge 3)\quad w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_r\ (n_0,t_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$(h_{n_0\vdash t_0}, h_{t_0\vdash r})_w=0.$$

$(3\wedge 4)\quad w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\dashv x_q\dashv x_p\quad (q>p, n_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(h_{n_0\vdash t}, f_{t\dashv qp})_w &= x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\dashv x_p\dashv x_q-x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\dashv\{x_p,x_q\}\\ &= h_{n_0\vdash t}\dashv(x_p\dashv x_q-\{x_p,x_q\}).\end{aligned}$$

$(3\wedge 5)\quad w=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\dashv x_{s_0}\ (n_0,s_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$(h_{n_0\vdash t}, h_{t\dashv s_0})_w=0.$$

Since $(4\wedge 4)$, $(4\wedge 5)$, $(5\wedge 4)$, $(5\wedge 5)$ are symmetric with $(2\wedge 2)$, $(2\wedge 3)$, $(3\wedge 2)$, $(3\wedge 3)$ respectively, they have the similar representations. We omit the details.

From the above representations, we know that all compositions in $S$ are trivial modulo $S$. So, $S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

(iii) Clearly, the mentioned set is just the set $Irr(S)$. Now, the results follow from Theorem 3.9. $\square$

By using the Theorem 4.1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.2** *([1],[8]) Let the notations be as in Theorem 4.1. Then $U(\mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}\otimes U(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)$, where $U(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)$ is the universal enveloping of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0$.* $\square$

# References

[1] M. Aymon and P.-P. Grivel, Un theoreme de Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt pour les algebres de Leibniz, *Comm. Algebra*, 31(2003), N2, 527-544.

[2] G. M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, *Adv. in Math.*, 29, 178-218(1978).

[3] L. A. Bokut, Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 36, 1173-1219(1972).

[4] L. A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, *Algebra i Logika*, 15, 117-142(1976).

[5] L. A. Bokut and K. P. Shum, Gröbner and Gröbner-Shirshov bases in algebra: an elementary approach, *SEA Bull. Math.*, 29, 227-252(2005).

[6] B. Buchberger, An algorithm for finding a basis for the residue class ring of a zero-dimensional polynomial ideal [in German], Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Austria, (1965).

[7] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations[in German], *Aequationes Math.*, 4, 374-383(1970).

[8] P. Kolesnikov, Conformal representations of Leibniz algebras, arXiv:math/0611501.

[9] J.-L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algebres de Lie: les algebres de Leibniz, Ens. Math. 39, 269-293(1993).

[10] J.-L. Loday, Algebres ayant deux operations associatives (digebres), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 321, 141-146(1995).

[11] J.-L. Loday, Dialgebras, in: Dialgebras and related operads, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1763. Berlin: Springer Verl., 2001, 7-66.

[12] A. I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, 3(1962), 292-296(in Russian); English translation in SIGSAM Bull., 33(2), 3-6(1999).

arXiv:0804.0638v4 [math.RA] 16 May 2010

# Gröbner-Shirshov bases for dialgebras*

L. A. Bokut†
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences
Siberian Branch, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
Email: bokut@math.nsc.ru

Yuqun Chen‡ and Cihua Liu
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
Email: yqchen@scnu.edu.cn
langhua01duo@yahoo.com.cn

**Abstract:** In this paper, we define the Gröbner-Shirshov basis for a dialgebra. The Composition-Diamond lemma for dialgebras is given then. As results, we give Gröbner-Shirshov bases for the universal enveloping algebra of a Leibniz algebra, the bar extension of a dialgebra, the free product of two dialgebras, and Clifford dialgebra. We obtain some normal forms for algebras mentioned the above.

**Key words:** dialgebra; Gröbner-Shirshov basis; Leibniz algebra; Clifford dialgebra.

**AMS 2000 Subject Classification**: 16S15, 13P10, 17A32, 17A99

# 1 Introduction

J.-L. Loday (1995, [11]) gave the definition of a new class of algebras, dialgebras, which is closely connected to his notion of Leibniz algebras (1993, [10]) in the same way as associative algebras connected to Lie algebras. In the manuscript [12], J.-L. Loday found a normal form of elements of a free dialgebra. Here we continue to study free dialgebras and prove the Composition-Diamond lemma for dialgebras. As it is well known, this kind of lemma is the cornerstone of the theory of Gröbner and Gröbner-Shirshov bases (see, for example, [6] and cited literature). In commutative-associative case, this lemma

---

*Supported by the NNSF of China (Nos.10771077, 10911120389) and the NSF of Guangdong Province (No.06025062).

†Supported by RFBR 01-09-00157, LSS–344.2008.1 and SB RAS Integration grant No. 2009.97 (Russia).

‡Corresponding author.

is equivalent to the Main Buchberger's Theorem ([7, 8]). For Lie and associative algebras, this is the Shirshov's lemma [14] (see also L.A. Bokut [3, 4], G. Bergman [2], L.A. Bokut and Y. Chen [5]). As results, we obtain Gröbner-Shirshov bases for the universal enveloping algebra of a Leibniz algebra, the bar extension of a dialgebra, the free product of two dialgebras, and Clifford dialgebra. By using our Composition-Diamond lemma for dialgebras (Theorem 3.9), we obtain some normal forms for algebras mentioned the above. Moreover, we get another proof of the M. Aymon, P.-P. Grivel's result ([1]) on the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem for Leibniz algebras (see P. Kolesnikov [9] for other proof).

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** *Let $k$ be a field. A $k$-linear space $D$ equipped with two bilinear multiplications $\vdash$ and $\dashv$ is called a dialgebra, if both $\vdash$ and $\dashv$ are associative and*

$$\begin{aligned} a \dashv (b \vdash c) &= a \dashv b \dashv c \\ (a \dashv b) \vdash c &= a \vdash b \vdash c \\ a \vdash (b \dashv c) &= (a \vdash b) \dashv c \end{aligned}$$

*for any $a,\ b,\ c \in D$.*

**Definition 2.2** *Let $D$ be a dialgebra, $B \subset D$. Let us define diwords of $D$ in the set $B$ by induction:*

*(i)* $b = (b),\ b \in B$ *is a diword in $B$ of length* $|b| = 1$.

*(ii)* $(u)$ *is called a diword in $B$ of length* $|(u)| = n$, *if* $(u) = ((v) \dashv (w))$ *or* $(u) = ((v) \vdash (w))$, *where* $(v),\ (w)$ *are diwords in $B$ of length $k,\ l$ respectively and* $k + l = n$.

**Proposition 2.3** *([12]) Let $D$ be a dialgebra and $B \subset D$. Any diword of $D$ in the set $B$ is equal to a diword in $B$ of the form*

$$(u) = b_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash b_{-1} \vdash b_0 \dashv b_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv b_n \tag{1}$$

*where* $b_i \in B,\ -m \le i \le n,\ m \ge 0,\ n \ge 0$. *Any bracketing of the right side of (1) gives the same result.* □

**Definition 2.4** *Let $X$ be a set. A free dialgebra $D(X)$ generated by $X$ over $k$ is defined in a usual way by the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "\forall\varphi"'] & D(X) \arrow[dl, "\exists!\varphi^* \quad \textit{(homomorphism)}"] \\ D & \end{tikzcd}$$

*where $D$ is any dialgebra.*

In [12], a construction of a free dialgebra is given.

**Proposition 2.5** *([12]) Let $D(X)$ be a free dialgebra over $k$ generated by $X$. Any diword in $D(X)$ is equal to the unique diword of the form*

$$[u] = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n \triangleq x_{-m} \cdots x_{-1}\dot{x}_0 x_1 \cdots x_n \tag{2}$$

*where $x_i \in X,\ m \geq 0,\ n \geq 0$, and $x_0$ is called the center of the normal diword $[u]$. We call $[u]$ a normal diword (in $X$) with the associative word $u, u \in X^*$. Clearly, if $[u] = [v]$, then $u = v$. In (2). Let $[u],\ [v]$ be two normal diwords. Then $[u] \vdash [v]$ is the normal diword $[uv]$ with the center at the center of $[v]$. Accordingly, $[u] \dashv [v]$ is the normal diword $[uv]$ with the center at the center of $[u]$.* □

**Example 2.6**

$$(x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1) \vdash (y_{-1} \vdash y_0 \dashv y_1) = x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \vdash x_1 \vdash y_{-1} \vdash y_0 \dashv y_1,$$

$$(x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1) \dashv (y_{-1} \vdash y_0 \dashv y_1) = x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv y_{-1} \dashv y_0 \dashv y_1. \ \square$$

# 3 Composition-Diamond lemma for dialgebras

Let $X$ be a well ordered set, $D(X)$ the free dialgebra over $k$, $X^*$ the free monoid generated by $X$ and $[X^*]$ the set of normal diwords in $X$. Let us define the deg-lex ordering on $[X^*]$ in the following way: for any $[u], [v] \in [X^*]$,

$$[u] < [v] \Longleftrightarrow wt([u]) < wt([v]) \ \text{ lexicographicaly,}$$

where

$$wt([u]) = (n + m + 1, m, x_{-m}, \cdots, x_0, \cdots, x_n)$$

if $[u] = x_{-m} \cdots x_{-1}\dot{x}_0 x_1 \cdots x_n$.

Throughout the paper, we will use this ordering.

It is easy to see that the ordering $<$ is satisfied the following properties:

$$[u] < [v] \Longrightarrow x \vdash [u] < x \vdash [v],\ [u] \dashv x < [v] \dashv x, \text{ for any } \ x \in X.$$

Any polynomial $f \in D(X)$ has the form

$$f = \sum_{[u]\in[X^*]} f([u])[u] = \alpha[\overline{f}] + \sum \alpha_i [u_i],$$

where $[\overline{f}],\ [u_i]$ are normal diwords in $X$, $[\overline{f}] > [u_i],\ \alpha,\ \alpha_i,\ f([u]) \in k,\ \alpha \neq 0$. We call $[\overline{f}]$ the leading term of $f$. Denote $suppf$ by the set $\{[u] | f([u]) \neq 0\}$ and $deg(f)$ by $|[\overline{f}]|$. $f$ is called monic if $\alpha = 1$. $f$ is called left (right) normed if $f = \sum \alpha_i u_i \dot{x}_i \ \ (f = \sum \alpha_i \dot{x}_i u_i)$, where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ x_i \in X$ and $u_i \in X^*$.

If $[u],\ [v]$ are both left normed or both right normed, then it is clear that for any $[w] \in [X^*]$,

$$\begin{aligned} [u] < [v] \Longrightarrow \quad & [u] \vdash [w] < [v] \vdash [w],\ [w] \vdash [u] < [w] \vdash [v], \\ & [u] \dashv [w] < [v] \dashv [w],\ [w] \dashv [u] < [w] \dashv [v]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S \subset D(X)$. By an $S$-diword $g$ we will mean a diword in $\{X \cup S\}$ with only one occurrence of $s \in S$. If this is the case and $g = (asb)$ for some $a, b \in X^*$, $s \in S$, we also call $g$ an $s$-diword.

From Proposition 2.3 it follows that any $s$-diword is equal to

$$[asb] = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n|_{x_k \mapsto s} \tag{3}$$

where $-m \le k \le n$, $s \in S$, $x_i \in X$, $-m \le i \le n$. To be more precise, $[asb] = [a\dot{s}b]$ if $k = 0$; $[asb] = [asb_1\dot{x_0}b_2]$ if $k < 0$ and $[asb] = [a_1\dot{x_0}a_2sb]$ if $k > 0$. If the center of the $s$-diword $[asb]$ is in $a$, then we denote it by $[\dot{a}sb] = [a_1\dot{x_0}a_2sb]$. Similarly, $[as\dot{b}] = [asb_1\dot{x_0}b_2]$ (of course, either $a_i$ or $b_i$ may be empty).

**Definition 3.1** *The $s$-diword (3) is called a normal $s$-diword if one of the following conditions holds:*

*(i)* $k = 0$,

*(ii)* $k < 0$ *and $s$ is left normed,*

*(iii)* $k > 0$ *and $s$ is right normed.*

*We call a normal $s$-diword $[asb]$ a left (right) normed $s$-diword if both $s$ and $[asb]$ are left (right) normed. In particulary, $s$ is a left (right) normed $s$-diword if $s$ is left (right) normed polynomial.*

The following lemma follows from the above properties of the ordering $<$.

**Lemma 3.2** *For a normal $s$-diword $[asb]$, the leading term of $[asb]$ is equal to $[a[\overline{s}]b]$, that is, $\overline{[asb]} = [a[\overline{s}]b]$. More specifically, if*

$$[asb] = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n|_{x_k \mapsto s},$$

*then corresponding to $k = 0$, $k < 0$, $k > 0$, respectively, we have*

$$\overline{x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash s \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n} = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash [\overline{s}] \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n,$$

$$\overline{x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash s \vdash \cdots \vdash x_0 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n} = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash [\overline{s}] \vdash \cdots \vdash x_0 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n,$$

$$\overline{x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_0 \dashv \cdots \dashv s \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n} = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_0 \dashv \cdots \dashv [\overline{s}] \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n. \quad \square$$

Now, we define compositions of polynomials in $D(X)$.

**Definition 3.3** *Let the ordering $<$ be as before and $f, g \in D(X)$ with $f, g$ monic.*

1) *Composition of left (right) multiplication.*

   *Let $f$ be not a right normed polynomial and $x \in X$. Then $x \dashv f$ is called the composition of left multiplication. Clearly, $x \dashv f$ is a right normed polynomial (or 0).*

   *Let $f$ be not a left normed polynomial and $x \in X$. Then $f \vdash x$ is called the composition of right multiplication. Clearly, $f \vdash x$ is a left normed polynomial (or 0).*

*2) Composition of inclusion.*

*Let*

$$[w]=[\overline{f}]=[a[\overline{g}]b],$$

*where $[agb]$ is a normal $g$-diword. Then*

$$(f,g)_{[w]}=f-[agb]$$

*is called the composition of inclusion. The transformation $f\mapsto f-[agb]$ is called the elimination of leading diword (ELW) of $g$ in $f$, and $[w]$ is called the ambiguity of $f$ and $g$.*

*3) Composition of intersection.*

*Let*

$$[w]=[[\overline{f}]b]=[a[\overline{g}]],\ |\overline{f}|+|\overline{g}|>|w|,$$

*where $[fb]$ is a normal $f$-diword and $[ag]$ a normal $g$-diword. Then*

$$(f,g)_{[w]}=[fb]-[ag]$$

*is called the composition of intersection, and $[w]$ is called the ambiguity of $f$ and $g$.*

**Remark** In the Definition 3.3, for the case of 2) or 3), we have $\overline{(f,g)_{[w]}}<[w]$. For the case of 1), $deg(x\dashv f)\leq deg(f)+1$ and $deg(f\vdash x)\leq deg(f)+1$.

**Definition 3.4** *Let the ordering $<$ be as before, $S\subset D(X)$ a monic set and $f,g\in S$.*

*1) Let $x\dashv f$ be a composition of left multiplication. Then $x\dashv f$ is called trivial modulo $S$, denoted by $x\dashv f\equiv 0\ mod(S)$, if*

$$x\dashv f=\sum\alpha_i[a_is_ib_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i\in k$, $a_i,b_i\in X^*$, $s_i\in S$, $[a_is_ib_i]$ right normed $s_i$-diword and $|[a_i[\overline{s_i}]b_i]|\leq deg(x\dashv f)$.*

*Let $f\vdash x$ be a composition of right multiplication. Then $f\vdash x$ is called trivial modulo $S$, denoted by $f\vdash x\equiv 0\ mod(S)$, if*

$$f\vdash x=\sum\alpha_i[a_is_ib_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i\in k$, $a_i,b_i\in X^*$, $s_i\in S$, $[a_is_ib_i]$ left normed $s_i$-diword and $|[a_i[\overline{s_i}]b_i]|\leq deg(f\vdash x)$.*

*2) Composition $(f,g)_{[w]}$ of inclusion (intersection) is called trivial modulo $(S,[w])$, denoted by $(f,g)_{[w]}\equiv 0\ mod(S,[w])$, if*

$$(f,g)_{[w]}=\sum\alpha_i[a_is_ib_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i\in k$, $a_i,b_i\in X^*$, $s_i\in S$, $[a_is_ib_i]$ normal $s_i$-diword, $[a_i[\overline{s_i}]b_i]<[w]$ and each $[a_is_ib_i]$ is right (left) normed $s_i$-diword whenever either both $f$ and $[agb]$ or both $[fb]$ and $[ag]$ are right (left) normed $S$-diwords.*

*We call the set $S$ a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $D(X)$ if any composition of polynomials in $S$ is trivial modulo $S$ (and $[w]$).*

The following lemmas play key role in the proof of Theorem 3.9.

**Lemma 3.5** *Let $S \subset D(X)$ and $[asb]$ an $s$-diword, $s \in S$. Assume that each composition of right and left multiplication is trivial modulo $S$. Then, $[asb]$ has a presentation:*

$$[asb] = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

*where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ s_i \in S,\ a_i, b_i \in X^*$ and each $[a_i s_i b_i]$ is normal $s_i$-diword.*

**Proof.** Following Proposition 2.3, we assume that

$$[asb] = x_{-m} \vdash \cdots \vdash x_{-1} \vdash x_0 \dashv x_1 \dashv \cdots \dashv x_n|_{x_k \mapsto s}.$$

There are three cases to consider.

Case 1. $k = 0$. Then $[asb]$ is a normal $s$-diword.

Case 2. $k < 0$. Then $[asb] = a \vdash (s \vdash x_{k+1}) \vdash b, k < -1$ or $[asb] = a \vdash (s \vdash x_0) \dashv b$. If $s$ is left normed then $[asb]$ is a normal $s$-diword. If $s$ is not left normed then for the composition $s \vdash x_{k+1}\ \ (k < 0)$ of right multiplication, we have

$$s \vdash x_{k+1} = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ a_i, b_i \in X^*,\ s_i \in S$ and $[a_i s_i b_i]$ is left normed $s_i$-diword. Then

$$[asb] = \sum \alpha_i (a \vdash [a_i s_i b_i] \vdash b)$$

or

$$[asb] = \sum \alpha_i (a \vdash [a_i s_i b_i] \dashv b)$$

is a linear combination of normal $s_i$-diwords.

Case 3. $k > 0$ is similar to the Case 2. $\square$

**Lemma 3.6** *Let $S \subset D(X)$ and each composition $(f,g)_{[w]}$ in $S$ of inclusion (intersection) trivial modulo $(S,[w])$. Let $[a_1 s_1 b_1]$ and $[a_2 s_2 b_2]$ be normal $S$-diwords such that $[w] = [a_1[\bar{s_1}]b_1] = [a_2[\bar{s_2}]b_2]$, where $s_1, s_2 \in S,\ a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in X^*$. Then,*

$$[a_1 s_1 b_1] \equiv [a_2 s_2 b_2] \quad mod(S,[w]),$$

*i.e., $[a_1 s_1 b_1] - [a_2 s_2 b_2] = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i]$, where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ a_i, b_i \in X^*,\ s_i \in S,\ [a_i s_i b_i]$ normal $s_i$-diword and $[a_i[\overline{s_i}]b_i] < [w]$.*

**Proof.** In the following, all letters $a, b, c$ with indexis are words and $s_1, s_2, s_j \in S$.

Because $a_1 \bar{s_1} b_1 = a_2 \bar{s_2} b_2$ as ordinary words, there are three cases to consider.

Case 1. Subwords $\overline{s_1}, \overline{s_2}$ have empty intersection. Assume, for example, that $b_1 = b\overline{s_2}b_2$ and $a_2 = a_1\overline{s_1}b$. Because any normal $S$-diword may be bracketing in any way, we have

$$[a_2s_2b_2] - [a_1s_1b_1] = (a_1s_1(b(s_2 - [\overline{s_2}])b_2)) - ((a_1(s_1 - [\overline{s_1}])b)s_2b_2).$$

For any $[t] \in supp(s_2 - [\overline{s_2}])$, we prove that $(a_1s_1b[t]b_2)$ is a normal $s_1$-diword. There are five cases to consider.

1.1 $[w] = [\dot{a}_1[\overline{s_1}]b[\overline{s_2}]b_2]$;

1.2 $[w] = [a_1\dot{[\overline{s_1}]}b[\overline{s_2}]b_2]$;

1.3 $[w] = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]\dot{b}[\overline{s_2}]b_2]$;

1.4 $[w] = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b\dot{[\overline{s_2}]}b_2]$;

1.5 $[w] = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b[\overline{s_2}]\dot{b}_2]$.

For 1.1, since $[a_1s_1b_1]$ and $[a_2s_2b_2]$ are normal $S$-diwords, both $s_1$ and $s_2$ are right normed by the definition, in particular, $[t]$ is right normed. It follows that $(a_1s_1b[t]b_2) = [\dot{a}_1s_1b[t]b_2]$ is a normal $s_1$-diword.

For 1.2, it is clear that $(a_1s_1b[t]b_2)$ is a normal $s_1$-diword and $[t]$ is right normed.

For 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5, since $[a_1s_1b_1]$ is normal $s_1$-diword, $s_1$ is left normed by the definition, which implies that $(a_1s_1b[t]b_2)$ is a normal $s_1$-diword. Moreover, $[t]$ is right normed, if 1.3, and left normed, if 1.5.

Clearly, for all cases, we have $\overline{[a_1s_1b[t]b_2]} = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b[t]b_2] < [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b[\overline{s_2}]b_2] = [w]$.

Similarly, for any $[t] \in supp(s_1 - [\overline{s_1}])$, $(a_1[t]bs_2b_2)$ is a normal $s_2$-diword and $[a_1[t]b[\overline{s_2}]b_2] < [w]$.

Case 2. Subwords $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ have non-empty intersection $c$. Assume, for example, that $b_1 = bb_2, \ a_2 = a_1a, \ w_1 = \overline{s_1}b = a\overline{s_2} = acb$.

There are following five cases to consider:

2.1 $[w] = [\dot{a}_1[\overline{s_1}]bb_2]$;

2.2 $[w] = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b\dot{b}_2]$;

2.3 $[w] = [a_1\dot{a}cbb_2]$;

2.4 $[w] = [a_1a\dot{c}bb_2]$;

2.5 $[w] = [a_1ac\dot{b}b_2]$.

Then

$$[a_2s_2b_2] - [a_1s_1b_1] = (a_1([as_2] - [s_1b])b_2) = (a_1(s_1, s_2)_{[w_1]}b_2),$$

where $[w_1] = [acb] = [[\overline{s_1}]b] = [a[\overline{s_2}]]$ is as follows:

2.1 $[w_1]$ is right normed;

2.2 $[w_1]$ is left normed;

2.3 $[w_1] = [\dot{a}cb]$;

2.4 $[w_1] = [a\dot{c}b]$;

2.5 $[w_1] = [ac\dot{b}]$.

Since each composition $(f, g)_{[w]}$ in $S$ is trivial modulo $(S, [w])$, there exist $\beta_j \in k, \ u_j, v_j \in X^*, \ s_j \in S$ such that $[s_1b] - [as_2] = \sum_j \beta_j[u_js_jv_j]$, where each $[u_js_jv_j]$ is normal $S$-diword and $[u_j[\overline{s_j}]v_j] < [w_1] = [acb]$. Therefore,

$$[a_2s_2b_2] - [a_1s_1b_1] = \sum_j \beta_j(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2).$$

Now, we prove that each $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)$ is normal $s_j$-diword and $\overline{(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)} < [w] = [a_1[[\overline{s_1}]b]b_2]$.

For 2.1, since $[\dot{a}_1s_1bb_2]$ and $[\dot{a}_1as_2b_2]$ are normal $S$-diwords, both $[s_1b]$ and $[as_2]$ are right normed $S$-diwords. Then, by definition, each $[u_js_jv_j]$ is right normed $S$-diword, and so each $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2) = [\dot{a}_1u_js_jv_jb_2]$ is normal $S$-diword.

For 2.2, both $[s_1b]$ and $[as_2]$ must be left normed $S$-diwords. Then, by definition, each $[u_js_jv_j]$ is left normed $S$-diword, and so each $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2) = [a_1u_js_jv_j\dot{b}_2]$ is normal $S$-diword.

For 2.3, 2.4 or 2.5, by noting that $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2) = ((a_1) \vdash [u_js_jv_j] \dashv (b_2))$ and $[u_js_jv_j]$ is normal $S$-diword, $(a_1[u_js_jv_j]b_2)$ is also normal $S$-diword.

Now, for all cases, we have $\overline{[a_1u_js_jv_jb_2]} = [a_1u_j[\overline{s_j}]v_jb_2] < [w] = [a_1[acb]b_2]$.

Case 3. One of the subwords $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ contains another as a subword. Assume, for example, that $b_2 = bb_1,\ a_2 = a_1a,\ w_1 = \overline{s_1} = a\overline{s_2}b$.

Again there are following five cases to consider:

2.1 $[w] = [\dot{a}_1a[\overline{s_2}]bb_1]$;

2.2 $[w] = [a_1a[\overline{s_2}]b\dot{b}_1]$;

2.3 $[w] = [a_1\dot{a}[\overline{s_2}]bb_1]$;

2.4 $[w] = [a_1a[\dot{\overline{s_2}}]bb_1]$;

2.5 $[w] = [a_1a[\overline{s_2}]\dot{b}b_1]$.

Then

$$[a_1s_1b_1] - [a_2s_2b_2] = (a_1(s_1 - as_2b)b_1) = (a_1(s_1, s_2)_{[w_1]}b_1).$$

It is similar to the proof of the Case 2 that we have $[a_1s_1b_1] \equiv [a_2s_2b_2] \ \ mod(S, [w])$. $\square$

**Definition 3.7** *Let* $S \subset D(X)$. *Then*

$$Irr(S) \triangleq \{u \in [X^*] | u \neq [a[\overline{s}]b], s \in S, a, b \in X^*,\ [asb] \textit{ is normal s-diword}\}.$$

**Lemma 3.8** *Let* $S \subset D(X)$ *and* $h \in D(X)$. *Then* $h$ *has a representation*

$$h = \sum_{I_1} \alpha_i[u_i] + \sum_{I_2} \beta_j[a_js_jb_j]$$

*where* $[u_i] \in Irr(S),\ i \in I_1, [a_js_jb_j]$ *normal* $s_j$*-diwords,* $s_j \in S,\ j \in I_2$ *with* $[a_1[\overline{s_1}]b_1] > [a_2[\overline{s_2}]b_2] > \cdots > [a_n[\overline{s_n}]b_n]$.

**Proof.** Let $h = \alpha_1[\overline{h}] + \cdots$. We prove the result by induction on $[\overline{h}]$.

If $[\overline{h}] \in Irr(S)$, then take $[u_1] = [\overline{h}]$ and $h_1 = h - \alpha_1[u_1]$. Clearly, $[\overline{h_1}] < [\overline{h}]$ or $h_1 = 0$.

If $[\overline{h}] \notin Irr(S)$, then $[\overline{h}] = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b_1]$ with $[a_1s_1b_1]$ a normal $s_1$-diword. Let $h_1 = h - \beta_1[a_1s_1b_1]$. Then $[\overline{h_1}] < [\overline{h}]$ or $h_1 = 0$. $\square$

The following theorem is the main result.

**Theorem 3.9** *(Composition-Diamond lemma) Let* $S \subset D(X)$ *be a monic set and the ordering* $<$ *as before,* $Id(S)$ *is the ideal generated by* $S$*. Then* $(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (ii)' \Leftrightarrow (iii)$*, where*

- *(i)* $S$ *is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in* $D(X)$*.*
- *(ii)* $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow [\overline{f}] = [a[\overline{s}]b]$ *for some* $s \in S$*,* $a, b \in X^*$ *and* $[asb]$ *a normal* $S$*-diword.*
- *(ii)′* $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow f = \alpha_1[a_1s_1b_1] + \alpha_2[a_2s_2b_2] + \cdots + \alpha_n[a_ns_nb_n]$ *with* $[a_1[\overline{s_1}]b_1] > [a_2[\overline{s_2}]b_2] > \cdots > [a_n[\overline{s_n}]b_n]$*, where* $[a_is_ib_i]$ *is normal* $s_i$*-diword,* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$*.*
- *(iii) The set* $Irr(S)$ *is a linear basis of the dialgebra* $D(X|S) = D(X)/Id(S)$ *generated by* $X$ *with defining relations* $S$*.*

**Proof.** $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Let $S$ be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis and $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$. We may assume, by Lemma 3.5, that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i[a_is_ib_i],$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ a_i, b_i \in X^*,\ s_i \in S$ and $[a_is_ib_i]$ normal $S$-diword. Let

$$[w_i] = [a_i[\overline{s_i}]b_i],\ [w_1] = [w_2] = \cdots = [w_l] > [w_{l+1}] \geq \cdots,\ l \geq 1.$$

We will use induction on $l$ and $[w_1]$ to prove that $[\overline{f}] = [a[\overline{s}]b]$ for some $s \in S$ and $a, b \in X^*$. If $l = 1$, then $[\overline{f}] = \overline{[a_1s_1b_1]} = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b_1]$ and hence the result holds. Assume that $l \geq 2$. Then, by Lemma 3.6, we have $[a_1s_1b_1] \equiv [a_2s_2b_2]\ \ mod(S, [w_1])$.

Thus, if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$ or $l > 2$, then the result follows from induction on $l$. For the case $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ and $l = 2$, we use induction on $[w_1]$. Now, the result follows.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (ii)'$. Assume (ii) and $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$. Let $f = \alpha_1[\overline{f}] + \sum_{[u_i]<[\overline{f}]} \alpha_i[u_i]$. Then, by (ii), $[\overline{f}] = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b_1]$, where $[a_1s_1b_1]$ is a normal $S$-diword. Therefore,

$$f_1 = f - \alpha_1[a_1s_1b_1],\ [\overline{f_1}] < [\overline{f}] \text{ or } \ f_1 = 0,\ f_1 \in Id(S).$$

Now, by using induction on $[\overline{f}]$, we have $(ii)'$.

$(ii)' \Rightarrow (ii)$. This part is clear.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Assume $(ii)$. Then by Lemma 3.8, $Irr(S)$ spans $D(X|S)$ as $k$-space.

Suppose that $0 \neq \sum \alpha_i[u_i] \in Id(S)$ where $[u_1] > [u_2] > \cdots,\ [u_i] \in Irr(S)$. Then by $(ii)$, $[u_1] = [a_1[\overline{s_1}]b_1]$ where $[a_1s_1b_1]$ is a normal $S$-diword, a contradiction.

This shows (iii).

$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Assume (iii). Let $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$. Since the elements in $Irr(S)$ are linearly independent in $D(X|S)$, by Lemma 3.8, $[\overline{f}] = [a[\bar{s}]b]$, where $[asb]$ is a normal $S$-diword. Thus, (ii) follows. □

**Remark:** In general, $(iii) \nRightarrow (i)$. For example, it is noted that

$$Irr(S) = \{x_j \dashv x_{i_1} \dashv \cdots \dashv x_{i_k} \mid j \in I, i_p \in I - I_0,\ 1 \leq p \leq k,\ i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k,\ k \geq 0\}$$

is a linear basis of $D(X|S)$ in Theorem 4.3. Let

$$S_1 = \{x_j \vdash x_i - x_i \dashv x_j + \{x_i, x_j\},\ x_t \dashv x_{i_0}, i, j, t \in I, i_0 \in I_0\}.$$

Then $Irr(S_1) = Irr(S)$ is a linear basis of $D(X|S)$. But in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we know that $S_1$ is not a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of $D(X|S)$.

# 4 Applications

In this section, we give Gröbner-Shirshov bases for the universal enveloping dialgebra of a Leibniz algebra, the bar extension of a dialgebra, the free product of two dialgebras, and the Clifford dialgebra. By using our Theorem 3.9, we obtain some normal forms for dialgebras mentioned the above.

**Definition 4.1** *([10]) A $k$-linear space $L$ equipped with bilinear multiplication $[,]$ is called a Leibniz algebra if for any $a, b, c \in L$,*

$$[[a,b],c] = [[a,c],b] + [a,[b,c]]$$

*i.e., the Leibniz identity is valid in $L$.*

It is clear that if $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$ is a dialgebra then $D^{(-)} = (D, [,])$ is a Leibniz algebra, where $[a,b] = a \dashv b - b \vdash a$ for any $a, b \in D$.

If $f$ is a Leibniz polynomial in variables $X$, then by $f^{(-)}$ we mean a dialgebra polynomial in $X$ obtained from $f$ by transformation $[a,b] \mapsto a \dashv b - b \vdash a$.

**Definition 4.2** *Let $L$ be a Leibniz algebra. A dialgebra $U(L)$ together with a Leibniz homomorphism $\varepsilon : L \to U(L)$ is called the universal enveloping dialgebra for $L$, if the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \arrow[r, "\varepsilon"] \arrow[d, "\forall\delta"'] & U(L) \arrow[dl, "\exists! f"] \\
D &
\end{tikzcd}$$

*where $D$ is a dialgebra, $\delta$ is a Leibniz homomorphism and $f : U(L) \to D$ is a dialgebra homomorphism such that $f\varepsilon = \delta$ (i.e., $\varepsilon : L \to U(L)$ is a universal arrow in the sense of S. MacLane [13], p55).*

*An equivalent definition is as follows: Let $L = Lei(X|S)$ is a Leibniz algebra presented by generators $X$ and definition relations $S$. Then $U(L) = D(X|S^{(-)})$ is the dialgebra with generators $X$ and definition relations $S^{(-)} = \{s^{(-)} | s \in S\}$.*

**Theorem 4.3** *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Leibniz algebra over a field $k$ with the product $\{,\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the set $\{\{a,a\}, \{a,b\} + \{b,a\} \mid a, b \in \mathcal{L}\}$. Let $\{x_i | i \in I_0\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $X = \{x_i | i \in I\}$ a well ordered basis of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $I_0 \subseteq I$. Let $U(L) = D(X | x_i \dashv x_j - x_j \vdash x_i - \{x_i, x_j\})$ be the universal enveloping dialgebra for $L$ and the ordering $<$ on $[X^*]$ as before. Then*

*(i) $D(X | x_i \dashv x_j - x_j \vdash x_i - \{x_i, x_j\}) = D(X|S)$, where $S$ consists of the following polynomials:*

(a) $f_{ji} = x_j \vdash x_i - x_i \dashv x_j + \{x_i, x_j\}$ $\qquad (i, j \in I)$

(b) $f_{ji\vdash t} = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t - x_i \vdash x_j \vdash x_t + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash x_t$ $\qquad (i, j, t \in I,\ j > i)$

(c) $h_{i_0 \vdash t} = x_{i_0} \vdash x_t$ $\qquad (i_0 \in I_0,\ t \in I)$

(d) $f_{t \dashv ji} = x_t \dashv x_j \dashv x_i - x_t \dashv x_i \dashv x_j + x_t \dashv \{x_i, x_j\}$ $\qquad (i, j, t \in I,\ j > i)$

(e) $h_{t \dashv i_0} = x_t \dashv x_{i_0}$ $\qquad (i_0 \in I_0,\ t \in I)$

*(ii) $S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $D(X)$.*

*(iii) The set*

$$\{x_j \dashv x_{i_1} \dashv \cdots \dashv x_{i_k} \mid j \in I, i_p \in I - I_0,\ 1 \leq p \leq k,\ i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k,\ k \geq 0\}$$

*is a linear basis of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}$ is a Leibniz subalgebra of $U(\mathcal{L})$.*

**Proof.** (i) By using the following

$$f_{ji\vdash t} = f_{ji} \vdash x_t \ \text{ and } \ f_{ji} \vdash x_t + f_{ij} \vdash x_t = (\{x_i, x_j\} + \{x_j, x_i\}) \vdash x_t,$$

we have (b) and (c) are in $Id(f_{ji})$. By symmetry, (d) and (e) are in $Id(f_{ji})$. This shows (i).

(ii) We will prove that all compositions in $S$ are trivial modulo $S$ (and $[w]$). For convenience, we extend linearly the functions $f_{ji},\ f_{ji\vdash t},\ f_{t\dashv ji},\ h_{i_0\vdash t}$ and $h_{t\dashv i_0}$ to $f_{j\{p,q\}}$ $(f_{\{p,q\}i}),\ f_{ji\vdash\{p,q\}}$ and $h_{\{p,q\}\dashv i_0}$, etc respectively. For example, if $\{x_p, x_q\} = \sum \alpha^s_{pq} x_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{j\{p,q\}} &= x_j \vdash \{x_p, x_q\} - \{x_p, x_q\} \dashv x_j + \{\{x_p, x_q\}, x_j\} = \sum \alpha^s_{pq} f_{js},\\
f_{ji\vdash\{p,q\}} &= \sum \alpha^s_{pq}(x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_s - x_i \vdash x_j \vdash x_s + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash x_s) = f_{ji} \vdash \{x_p, x_q\},\\
h_{\{p,q\}\dashv i_0} &= \sum \alpha^s_{pq} h_{s\dashv i_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the Leibniz identity,

$$\{\{a, b\}, c\} = \{a, \{b, c\}\} + \{\{a, c\}, b\}, \tag{4}$$

we have

$$\{a, \{b, b\}\} = 0 \ \textit{ and } \ \{a, \{b, c\} + \{c, b\}\} = 0$$

for any $a, b, c \in \mathcal{L}$. It means that for any $i_0 \in I_0,\ j \in I$,

$$\{x_j, x_{i_0}\} = 0 \tag{5}$$

and by noting that $\{x_{i_0}, x_j\} = \{x_j, x_{i_0}\} + \{x_{i_0}, x_j\}$, we have

$$\{x_{i_0}, x_j\} \in \mathcal{L}_0. \tag{6}$$

This implies that $\mathcal{L}_0$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0$ is a Lie algebra.

The formulas (4), (5) and (6) are useful in the sequel.

In $S$, all the compositions are as follows.

1) Compositions of left or right multiplication.

All possible compositions in $S$ of left multiplication are ones related to (a), (b) and (c).

By noting that for any $s, i, j, t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_s \dashv f_{ji} &= f_{s\dashv ji} \qquad (j > i),\\
x_s \dashv f_{ji} &= -f_{s\dashv ij} + x_s \dashv (\{x_i, x_j\} + \{x_j, x_i\}) \qquad (j < i),\\
x_s \dashv f_{ii} &= x_s \dashv \{x_i, x_i\},\\
x_s \dashv f_{ji\vdash t} &= f_{s\dashv ji} \dashv x_t \ \ (j > i) \qquad \text{and}\\
x_s \dashv h_{i_0\vdash t} &= h_{s\dashv i_0} \dashv x_t,
\end{aligned}$$

it is clear that all cases are trivial modulo $S$.

By symmetry, all compositions in $S$ of right multiplication are trivial modulo $S$.

2) Compositions of inclusion and intersection.

We denote, for example, $(a \wedge b)$ the composition of the polynomials of type $(a)$ and type $(b)$. It is noted that since (b) and (c) are both left normed, we have to prove that the corresponding compositions of the cases of $(b \wedge b)$, $(b \wedge c)$, $(c \wedge c)$ and $(c \wedge b)$ must be a linear combination of left normed $S$-diwords in which the leading term of each $S$-diword is less than $w$. Symmetrically, we consider the cases for the right normed (d) and (e).

All possible compositions of inclusion and intersection are as follows.

$(a \wedge c)$ $[w] = x_{i_0} \vdash x_i$ $(i_0 \in I_0)$. We have, by (5),

$$(f_{i_0 i}, h_{i_0 \vdash i})_{[w]} = -x_i \dashv x_{i_0} + \{x_i, x_{i_0}\} = -h_{i \dashv i_0} \equiv 0 \ \ mod(S, [w]).$$

$(a \wedge d)$ $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \dashv x_q \dashv x_p$ $(q > p)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (f_{ji}, f_{i \dashv qp})_{[w]} \\
= \ & -x_i \dashv x_j \dashv x_q \dashv x_p + \{x_i, x_j\} \dashv x_q \dashv x_p + x_j \vdash x_i \dashv x_p \dashv x_p - x_j \vdash x_i \dashv \{x_p, x_q\} \\
= \ & -x_i \dashv f_{j \dashv qp} + f_{\{i,j\} \dashv qp} + f_{ji} \dashv x_p \dashv x_q - f_{ji} \dashv \{x_p, x_q\} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ \ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

$(a \wedge e)$ $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \dashv x_{i_0}$ $(i_0 \in I_0)$. We have

$$(f_{ji}, h_{i \dashv i_0})_{[w]} = -x_i \dashv x_j \dashv x_{i_0} + \{x_i, x_j\} \dashv x_{i_0} = -x_i \dashv h_{j \dashv i_0} + h_{\{i,j\} \dashv i_0} \equiv 0 \ \ mod(S, [w]).$$

$(b \wedge a)$ There are two cases to consider: $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t$ and $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t \vdash x_p$.

For $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t$ $(j > i)$, by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_{ji \vdash t}, f_{it})_{[w]} &= -x_i \vdash x_j \vdash x_t + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash x_t + x_j \vdash x_t \dashv x_i - x_j \vdash \{x_t, x_i\} \\
&= -x_i \vdash f_{jt} + f_{\{i,j\}t} + f_{jt} \dashv x_i - f_{j\{t,i\}} + f_{i\{t,j\}} - f_{it} \dashv x_j + f_{t \dashv ji} \\
&\equiv 0 \ \ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

For $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t \vdash x_p$ $(j > i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (f_{ji \vdash t}, f_{tp})_{[w]} \\
= \ & -x_i \vdash x_j \vdash x_t \vdash x_p + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash x_t \vdash x_p + x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_p \dashv x_t - x_j \vdash x_i \vdash \{x_p, x_t\} \\
= \ & -x_i \vdash x_j \vdash f_{tp} + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash f_{tp} + f_{ji \vdash p} \dashv x_t - f_{ji \vdash \{p,t\}} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ \ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

$(b \wedge b)$ There are two cases to consider: $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t \vdash x_s \vdash x_p$ and $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t \vdash x_p$.

For $[w] = x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t \vdash x_s \vdash x_p$ $(j > i, t > s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (f_{ji \vdash t}, f_{ts \vdash p})_{[w]} \\
= \ & -x_i \vdash x_j \vdash x_t \vdash x_s \vdash x_p + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash x_t \vdash x_s \vdash x_p + x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_s \vdash x_t \vdash x_p \\
& -x_j \vdash x_i \vdash \{x_s, x_t\} \vdash x_p \\
= \ & -x_i \vdash x_j \vdash f_{ts \vdash p} + \{x_i, x_j\} \vdash f_{ts \vdash p} + f_{ji \vdash s} \vdash x_t \vdash x_p - f_{ji \vdash \{s,t\}} \vdash x_p \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ \ mod(S, [w])
\end{aligned}$$

since it is a combination of left normed $S$-diwords in which the leading term of each $S$-diword is less than $w$.

For $[w]=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\vdash x_p \ \ (j>i>t)$, suppose that

$$\{x_i,x_j\}=\sum_{m\in I_1}\alpha_{ij}^m x_m+\alpha_{ij}^t x_t+\sum_{n\in I_2}\alpha_{ij}^n x_n \ (m<t<n).$$

Denote

$$B_{t\vdash\{i,j\}\vdash p}=x_t\vdash\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_p-\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\vdash x_p-\{x_t,\{x_i,x_j\}\}\vdash x_p.$$

Then

$$B_{t\vdash\{i,j\}\vdash p}=\sum_{m\in I_1}\alpha_{ij}^m f_{tm\vdash p}-\sum_{n\in I_2}\alpha_{ij}^n f_{nt\vdash p}-\sum_{q\in I_0}\beta_q h_{q\vdash p}$$

is a linear combination of left normed $S$-diwords of length 2 or 3, where

$$\sum_{q\in I_0}\beta_q x_q=\sum_{m\in I_1}\alpha_{ij}^m(\{x_t,x_m\}+\{x_m,x_t\})+\alpha_{ij}^t\{x_t,x_t\}.$$

Denote

$$\sum_{l\in I_0}\gamma_l x_l=-(\{x_j,\{x_t,x_i\}\}+\{\{x_t,x_i\},x_j\})+(\{x_i,\{x_t,x_j\}\}+\{\{x_t,x_j\},x_i\}).$$

Now, by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f_{ji\vdash t},f_{it\vdash p})_{[w]}\\
=\ & -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t\vdash x_p+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\vdash x_p+x_j\vdash x_t\vdash x_i\vdash x_p-x_j\vdash\{x_t,x_i\}\vdash x_p\\
=\ & -x_i\vdash f_{jt\vdash p}-B_{t\vdash\{i,j\}\vdash p}+f_{jt\vdash i}\vdash x_p-B_{j\vdash\{t,i\}\vdash p}+\sum_{l\in I_0}\gamma_l h_{l\vdash p}\\
& +B_{i\vdash\{t,j\}\vdash p}-f_{it\vdash j}\vdash x_p+x_t\vdash f_{ji\vdash p}\\
\equiv\ & 0 \ \ mod(S,[w])
\end{aligned}$$

since it is a combination of left normed $S$-diwords in which the leading term of each $S$-diword is less than $w$.

$(b\wedge c)$ There are three cases to consider: $[w]=x_j\vdash x_{i_0}\vdash x_t \ (i_0\in I_0)$, $[w]=x_{j_0}\vdash x_i\vdash x_t \ (j_0\in I_0)$ and $[w]=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_n \ (t_0\in I_0)$.

Case 1. $[w]=x_j\vdash x_{i_0}\vdash x_t \ \ (j>i_0, \ i_0\in I_0)$. By (6), we can assume that $\{x_{i_0},x_j\}=\sum_{l\in I_0}\gamma_l x_l$. Then, we have

$$(f_{ji_0\vdash t},h_{i_0\vdash t})_{[w]}=-x_{i_0}\vdash x_j\vdash x_t+\{x_{i_0},x_j\}\vdash x_t=-h_{i_0\vdash j}\vdash x_t+\sum_{l\in I_0}\gamma_l h_{l\vdash t}\equiv 0 \ mod(S,[w]).$$

Case 2. $[w]=x_{j_0}\vdash x_i\vdash x_t \ \ (j_0>i, j_0\in I_0)$. By (5), we have

$$(f_{j_0i\vdash t},h_{j_0\vdash i})_{[w]}=-x_i\vdash x_{j_0}\vdash x_t+\{x_i,x_{j_0}\}\vdash x_t=-x_i\vdash h_{j_0\vdash t}\equiv 0 \ \ mod(S,[w]).$$

Case 3. $[w]=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_n \ \ (j>i,t_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_{ji\vdash t_0},h_{t_0\vdash n})_{[w]} &= -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_n+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_n\\
&= (-x_i\vdash x_j+\{x_i,x_j\})\vdash h_{t_0\vdash n}\\
&\equiv 0 \ \ mod(S,[w]).
\end{aligned}$$

$(b\wedge d)$ $[w]=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\dashv x_q\dashv x_p\ \ (j>i,q>p)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&(f_{ji\vdash t},f_{t\dashv qp})_{[w]}\\ =\ & -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t\dashv x_q\dashv x_p+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\dashv x_q\dashv x_p\\ & +x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\dashv x_p\dashv x_q-x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\dashv\{x_p,x_q\}\\ =\ & -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash f_{t\dashv qp}+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash f_{t\dashv qp}+f_{ji\vdash t}\dashv x_p\dashv x_q-f_{ji\vdash t}\dashv\{x_p,x_q\}\\ \equiv\ & 0\ \ mod(S,[w]).\end{aligned}$$

$(b\wedge e)$ $[w]=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t\dashv x_{n_0}\ \ (j>i,n_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(f_{ji\vdash t},h_{t\dashv n_0})_{[w]} &= -x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t\dashv x_{n_0}+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t\dashv x_{n_0}\\ &= (-x_i\vdash x_j+\{x_i,x_j\})\vdash h_{t\dashv n_0}\\ &\equiv 0\ \ mod(S,[w]).\end{aligned}$$

$(c\wedge a)$ There are two cases to consider: $[w]=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\ (n_0\in I_0)$ and $[w]=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\vdash x_s\ (n_0\in I_0)$.

For $[w]=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\ (n_0\in I_0)$, we have

$$(h_{n_0\vdash t},f_{n_0t})_{[w]}=x_t\dashv x_{n_0}-\{x_t,x_{n_0}\}=h_{t\dashv n_0}\equiv 0\ \ mod(S,[w]).$$

For $[w]=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\vdash x_s\ (n_0\in I_0)$, we have

$$(h_{n_0\vdash t},f_{ts})_{[w]}=x_{n_0}\vdash x_s\dashv x_t-x_{n_0}\vdash\{x_s,x_t\}=h_{n_0\vdash s}\dashv x_t-h_{n_0\vdash\{s,t\}}\equiv 0\ \ mod(S,[w]).$$

$(c\wedge b)$ $[w]=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\vdash x_s\vdash x_p\ \ (t>s,n_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(h_{n_0\vdash t},f_{ts\vdash p})_{[w]} &= x_{n_0}\vdash x_s\vdash x_t\vdash x_p-x_{n_0}\vdash\{x_s,x_t\}\vdash x_p\\ &= h_{n_0\vdash s}\vdash x_t\vdash x_p-h_{n_0\vdash\{s,t\}}\vdash x_p\\ &\equiv 0\ \ mod(S,[w]).\end{aligned}$$

$(c\wedge c)$ $[w]=x_{n_0}\vdash x_{t_0}\vdash x_r\ (n_0,t_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$(h_{n_0\vdash t_0},h_{t_0\vdash r})_{[w]}=0.$$

$(c\wedge d)$ $[w]=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\dashv x_q\dashv x_p\ \ (q>p,n_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(h_{n_0\vdash t},f_{t\dashv qp})_{[w]} &= x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\dashv x_p\dashv x_q-x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\dashv\{x_p,x_q\}\\ &= h_{n_0\vdash t}\dashv(x_p\dashv x_q-\{x_p,x_q\})\\ &\equiv 0\ \ mod(S,[w]).\end{aligned}$$

$(c\wedge e)$ $[w]=x_{n_0}\vdash x_t\dashv x_{s_0}\ (n_0,s_0\in I_0)$. We have

$$(h_{n_0\vdash t},h_{t\dashv s_0})_{[w]}=0.$$

Since $(d\wedge d)$, $(d\wedge e)$, $(e\wedge d)$, $(e\wedge e)$ are symmetric with $(b\wedge b)$, $(b\wedge c)$, $(c\wedge b)$, $(c\wedge c)$ respectively, they have the similar representations. We omit the details.

So, we show that $S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

(iii) Clearly, the mentioned set is just the set $Irr(S)$. Now, the results follow from Theorem 3.9. $\square$

A Gröbner-Shirshov basis $S$ is called reduced if $S$ is a monic set and no monomial in any element of the basis contains the leading words of the other elements of the basis as subwords.

**Remark:** Let the notation be in Theorem 4.3. Let $S^{red}$ consist of the following polynomials:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
(a) & f_{ji}=x_j\vdash x_i-x_i\dashv x_j+\{x_i,x_j\} & (i\in I, j\in I-I_0)\\
(b) & f_{ji\vdash t}=x_j\vdash x_i\vdash x_t-x_i\vdash x_j\vdash x_t+\{x_i,x_j\}\vdash x_t & (i,j\in I-I_0,\ j>i,t\in I)\\
(c) & h_{i_0\vdash t}=x_{i_0}\vdash x_t & (i_0\in I_0,\ t\in I)\\
(d) & f_{t\dashv ji}=x_t\dashv x_j\dashv x_i-x_t\dashv x_i\dashv x_j+x_t\dashv\{x_i,x_j\} & (i,j\in I-I_0,\ j>i,t\in I)\\
(e) & h_{t\dashv i_0}=x_t\dashv x_{i_0} & (i_0\in I_0,\ t\in I)
\end{array}$$

Then $S^{red}$ is a reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis for $D(X|S)$.

We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.4** *([1]) Let the notation be as in Theorem 4.3. Then as linear spaces, $U(\mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}\otimes U(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)$, where $U(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)$ is the universal enveloping of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0$.*

**Proof.** Clearly, $\{x_j \mid j\in I-I_0\}$ is a $k$-basis of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0$. It is well known that the universal enveloping $U(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)$ of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0$ has a $k$-basis

$$\{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\dots x_{i_k} \mid i_1\leq\cdots\leq i_k,\ i_p\in I-I_0,\ 1\leq p\leq k,\ k\geq 0\}.$$

By using (iii) in Theorem 4.3, the result follows. $\square$

**Definition 4.5** *Let $D$ be a dialgebra. An element $e\in D$ is called a bar unit of $D$ if $e\vdash x=x\dashv e=x$ for any $x\in D$.*

**Theorem 4.6** *Each dialgebra has a bar unit extension.*

**Proof.** Let $(D,\vdash,\dashv)$ be an arbitrary dialgebra over a field $k$ and $A$ the ideal of $D$ generated by the set $\{a\dashv b-a\vdash b|\ a,b\in D\}$. Let $X_0=\{x_{i_0}|i_0\in I_0\}$ be a $k$-basis of $A$ and $X=\{x_i|i\in I\}$ a well ordered $k$-basis of $D$ such that $I_0\subseteq I$. Then $D$ has a presentation by the multiplication table $D=D(X|S)$, where $S=\{x_i\vdash x_j-\{x_i\vdash x_j\},\ x_i\dashv x_j-\{x_i\dashv x_j\},\ i,j\in I\}$, where $\{x_i\vdash x_j\}$ and $\{x_i\dashv x_j\}$ are linear combinations of $x_t, t\in I$.

Let $D_1=D(X\cup\{e\}|S_1)$, where $S_1=S\cup\{e\vdash y-y,\ y\dashv e-y,\ e\dashv x_0,\ x_0\vdash e\mid y\in X\cup\{e\}, x_0\in X_0\}$. Then $D_1$ is a dialgebra with a bar unit $e$.

Denote

1. $f_{i\vdash j} = x_i \vdash x_j - \{x_i \vdash x_j\}$,
2. $f_{i\dashv j} = x_i \dashv x_j - \{x_i \dashv x_j\}$,
3. $g_{e\vdash y} = e \vdash y - y$,
4. $g_{y\dashv e} = y \dashv e - y$,
5. $h_{x_{i_0}\vdash e} = x_{i_0} \vdash e$,
6. $h_{e\dashv x_{i_0}} = e \dashv x_{i_0}$,

where $i, j \in I,\ i_0 \in I_0,\ y \in X \cup \{e\}$.

We show that $\{x_t \dashv x_{i_0}\} = 0$ and $\{x_{i_0} \vdash x_t\} = 0$ for any $t \in I,\ i_0 \in I_0$.

Since $x_{i_0} \in A$, we have $x_{i_0} = \sum \alpha_i(c_i f_i d_i)$, where $f_i = a_i \dashv b_i - a_i \vdash b_i$, $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in D$ and $c_i, d_i \in X^*$.

Since $x_t \dashv (c_i(a_i \dashv b_i - a_i \vdash b_i)d_i) = 0$, we have $\{x_t \dashv \{c_i\{a_i \dashv b_i - a_i \vdash b_i\}d_i\}\} = 0$ for each $i$. Then $\{x_t \dashv x_{i_0}\} = 0$.

By symmetry, we have $\{x_{i_0} \vdash x_t\} = 0$.

To prove the theorem, by using our Theorem 3.9, it suffices to prove that with the ordering on $[(X \cup \{e\})^*]$ as before, where $x < e,\ x \in X$, $S_1$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $D(X \cup \{e\})$. Now, we show that all compositions in $S_1$ are trivial.

All possible compositions of left and right multiplication are: $z \dashv f_{i\vdash j}$, $z \dashv g_{e\vdash y}$, $z \dashv h_{x_{i_0}\vdash e}$, $f_{i\dashv j} \vdash z$, $g_{y\dashv e} \vdash z$, $h_{e\dashv x_{i_0}} \vdash z$, $z \in X \cup \{e\}$.

For $z \dashv f_{i\vdash j},\ z = x_t \in X$, since $(x_t \dashv x_i) \dashv x_j = x_t \dashv (x_i \vdash x_j)$, we have $\{\{x_t \dashv x_i\} \dashv x_j\} = \{x_t \dashv \{x_i \vdash x_j\}\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_t \dashv f_{i\vdash j} \\
= \;& x_t \dashv x_i \dashv x_j - x_t \dashv \{x_i \vdash x_j\} \\
= \;& f_{t\dashv i} \dashv x_j + f_{\{t\dashv i\}\dashv j} - f_{t\dashv\{i\vdash j\}} + \{\{x_t \dashv x_i\} \dashv x_j\} - \{x_t \dashv \{x_i \vdash x_j\}\} \\
= \;& f_{t\dashv i} \dashv x_j + f_{\{t\dashv i\}\dashv j} - f_{t\dashv\{i\vdash j\}} \\
\equiv \;& 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $z \dashv f_{i\vdash j}, z = e$, let $\{x_i \dashv x_j\} - \{x_i \vdash x_j\} = \sum \alpha_{i_0} x_{i_0}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e \dashv f_{i\vdash j} &= e \dashv x_i \dashv x_j - e \dashv \{x_i \vdash x_j\} \\
&= e \dashv (x_i \dashv x_j - \{x_i \dashv x_j\}) + e \dashv \{x_i \dashv x_j\} - e \dashv \{x_i \vdash x_j\} \\
&= e \dashv f_{i\dashv j} + \sum \alpha_{i_0} h_{e\dashv x_{i_0}} \\
&\equiv 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $z \dashv g_{e\vdash y}$, we have

$$z \dashv g_{e\vdash y} = z \dashv e \dashv y - z \dashv y = (z \dashv e - z) \dashv y = g_{z\dashv e} \dashv y \equiv 0\ mod(S_1).$$

For $z \dashv h_{x_{i_0}\vdash e}$, we have

$$z \dashv h_{x_{i_0}\vdash e} = z \dashv x_{i_0} \dashv e = z \dashv g_{x_{i_0}\dashv e} + z \dashv x_{i_0}.$$

It is clear that $z \dashv x_{i_0} = h_{e \dashv x_{i_0}}$ if $z = e$ and $z \dashv x_{i_0} = x_t \dashv x_{i_0} - \{x_t \dashv x_{i_0}\} = f_{t \dashv i_0}$ if $z = x_t \in X$, since $\{x_t \dashv x_{i_0}\} = 0$. This implies that $z \dashv h_{x_{i_0} \vdash e} \equiv 0 \; mod(S_1)$.

Thus we show that all compositions of left multiplication in $S_1$ are trivial modulo $S_1$. By symmetry, all compositions of right multiplication in $S_1$ are trivial modulo $S_1$.

Now, all possible ambiguities $[w]$ of compositions of intersection in $S_1$ are:

$1 \wedge 1$, $[x_i x_j \dot{x}_t]$; $1 \wedge 2$, $[x_i \dot{x}_j x_t]$; $1 \wedge 4$, $[x_i \dot{x}_j e]$; $1 \wedge 5$, $[x_i x_{i_0} \dot{e}]$.

$2 \wedge 2$, $[\dot{x}_i x_j x_t]$; $2 \wedge 4$, $[\dot{x}_i x_j e]$.

$3 \wedge 1$, $[e x_i \dot{x}_j]$; $3 \wedge 2$, $[e \dot{x}_i x_j]$; $3 \wedge 3$, $[e e \dot{y}]$; $3 \wedge 4$, $[e \dot{y} e]$; $3 \wedge 5$, $[e x_{i_0} \dot{e}]$; $3 \wedge 6$, $[e \dot{e} x_{i_0}]$.

$4 \wedge 4$, $[\dot{y} e e]$; $4 \wedge 6$, $[\dot{y} e x_{i_0}]$.

$5 \wedge 3$, $[x_{i_0} e \dot{y}]$; $5 \wedge 4$, $[x_{i_0} \dot{e} e]$; $5 \wedge 6$, $[x_{i_0} \dot{e} x_{j_0}]$.

$6 \wedge 2$, $[\dot{e} x_{i_0} x_j]$; $6 \wedge 4$, $[\dot{e} x_{i_0} e]$.

In the above, all $i, j, t \in I$, $i_0, j_0 \in I_0$ and $y \in X \cup \{e\}$.

There is no composition of inclusion in $S_1$.

We will show that all compositions of intersection in $S_1$ are trivial. We check only the cases of $1 \wedge 2$, $1 \wedge 5$ and $4 \wedge 6$. Others can be similarly proved.

For $1 \wedge 2$, $[w] = [x_i \dot{x}_j x_t]$, since $(x_i \vdash x_j) \dashv x_t = x_i \vdash (x_j \dashv x_t)$, we have $\{\{x_i \vdash x_j\} \dashv x_t\} = \{x_i \vdash \{x_j \dashv x_t\}\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \wedge 2)_{[w]} &= -\{x_i \vdash x_j\} \dashv x_t + x_i \vdash \{x_j \dashv x_t\} \\
&= -f_{\{i \vdash j\} \dashv t} + f_{i \vdash \{j \dashv t\}} - \{\{x_i \vdash x_j\} \dashv x_t\} + \{x_i \vdash \{x_j \dashv x_t\}\} \\
&= -f_{\{i \vdash j\} \dashv t} + f_{i \vdash \{j \dashv t\}} \\
&\equiv 0 \; mod(S_1, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \wedge 5$, $[w] = [x_i x_{i_0} \dot{e}]$, since $x_i \vdash x_{i_0} \in A$, we have $\{x_i \vdash x_{i_0}\} = \sum \alpha_{j_0} x_{j_0}$ and

$$(1 \wedge 5)_{[w]} = \{x_i \vdash x_{i_0}\} \vdash e = \sum \alpha_{j_0} h_{x_{j_0} \vdash e} \equiv 0 \; mod(S_1, [w]).$$

For $4 \wedge 6$, $[w] = [\dot{y} e x_{i_0}]$, we have $(4 \wedge 6)_{[w]} = -h_{e \dashv x_{i_0}}$ if $y = e$ and $(4 \wedge 6)_{[w]} = -f_{t \dashv i_0}$ if $y = x_t \in X$ since $\{x_t \dashv x_{i_0}\} = 0$. Then $(4 \wedge 6)_{[w]} \equiv 0 \; mod(S_1, [w])$.

Then all the compositions in $S_1$ are trivial.

The proof is complete. $\square$

**Remark:** Let the notation be as in the proof of Theorem 4.6. Let $D' = D(X \cup \{e_j\}_J | S')$ be a dialgebra, where $S' = S \cup \{e_j \vdash y - y, y \dashv e_j - y, \; e_j \dashv x_0, x_0 \vdash e_j \mid y \in X \cup \{e_j\}_J, x_0 \in X_0, \; j \in J\}$. Let $J$ be a well ordered set. Then with the ordering on $[(X \cup \{e_j\}_J)^*]$ as before, where $x_i < e_j$ for all $i \in I, \; j \in J$, by a similar proof of Theorem 4.6, $S'$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $D(X \cup \{e_j\}_J)$. It follows from Theorem 3.9 that $D$ can be embedded into the dialgebra $D'$ while $D'$ has bar units $\{e_j\}_J$.

**Definition 4.7** *Let $D_1, D_2$ be dialgebras over a field $k$. The dialgebra $D_1 * D_2$ with two dialgebra homomorphisms $\varepsilon_1 : D_1 \to D_1 * D_2$, $\varepsilon_2 : D_2 \to D_1 * D_2$ is called the free product of $D_1, D_2$, if the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_1 \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_1"] \arrow[dr, "\forall\delta_1"'] & D_1 * D_2 \arrow[d, "\exists! f"] & D_2 \arrow[l, "\varepsilon_2"'] \arrow[dl, "\forall\delta_2"] \\
& D &
\end{tikzcd}$$

*where $D$ is a dialgebra, $\delta_1, \delta_2$ are dialgebra homomorphisms and $f : D_1 * D_2 \to D$ is a dialgebra homomorphism such that $f\varepsilon_1 = \delta_1, f\varepsilon_2 = \delta_2$ (i.e., $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) : (D_1, D_2) \to (D_1 * D_2, D_1 * D_2)$ is a universal arrow in the sense of S. Maclane [13]).*

*An equivalent definition is as follows: Let $D_i = D(X_i|S_i)$ be a presentation by generators and defining relations with $X_1 \cap X_2 = \varnothing$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $D_1 * D_2 = D(X_1 \cup X_2|S_1 \cup S_2)$.*

Let $(D_1, \vdash, \dashv)$, $(D_2, \vdash, \dashv)$ be two dialgebras over a field $k$, $A_1$ the ideal of $D_1$ generated by the set $\{a \dashv b - a \vdash b|\ a, b \in D_1\}$ and $A_2$ the ideal of $D_2$ generated by the set $\{c \dashv d - c \vdash d|\ c, d \in D_2\}$. Let $X_0 = \{x_{i_0}|i_0 \in I_0\}$ be a $k$-basis of $A_1$ and $X = \{x_i|i \in I\}$ a well ordered $k$-basis of $D_1$ such that $I_0 \subseteq I$. Let $Y_0 = \{y_{l_0}|l_0 \in J_0\}$ be a $k$-basis of $A_2$ and $Y = \{y_l|l \in J\}$ a well ordered $k$-basis of $D_2$ such that $J_0 \subseteq J$. Then $D_1$ and $D_2$ have multiplication tables:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 = D(X|S_1), \quad & S_1 = \{x_i \vdash x_j - \{x_i \vdash x_j\},\ x_i \dashv x_j - \{x_i \dashv x_j\},\ i, j \in I\}, \\
D_2 = D(Y|S_2), \quad & S_2 = \{y_l \vdash y_m - \{y_l \vdash y_m\},\ y_l \dashv y_m - \{y_l \dashv y_m\},\ l, m \in J\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The free product $D_1 * D_2$ of $D_1$ and $D_2$ is

$$D_1 * D_2 = D(X \cup Y|S_1 \cup S_2).$$

We order $X \cup Y$ by $x_i < y_j$ for any $i \in I, j \in J$. Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.8** *(i) $S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of $D_1 * D_2 = D(X \cup Y|S_1 \cup S_2)$, where $S$ consists of the following relations:*

1. $f_{x_i \vdash x_j} = x_i \vdash x_j - \{x_i \vdash x_j\}, \quad i, j \in I,$
2. $f_{x_i \dashv x_j} = x_i \dashv x_j - \{x_i \dashv x_j\}, \quad i, j \in I,$
3. $f_{y_l \vdash y_m} = y_l \vdash y_m - \{y_l \vdash y_m\}, \quad l, m \in J,$
4. $f_{y_l \dashv y_m} = y_l \dashv y_m - \{y_l \dashv y_m\}, \quad l, m \in J,$
5. $h_{x_{i_0} \vdash y_l} = x_{i_0} \vdash y_l, \quad i_0 \in I_0, l \in J,$
6. $h_{y_l \dashv x_{i_0}} = y_l \dashv x_{i_0}, \quad i_0 \in I_0, l \in J,$
7. $h_{y_{l_0} \vdash x_i} = y_{l_0} \vdash x_i, \quad i \in I, l_0 \in J_0,$
8. $h_{x_i \dashv y_{l_0}} = x_i \dashv y_{l_0}, \quad i \in I, l_0 \in J_0.$

*(ii) $Irr(S)$, which is a $k$-linear basis of $D_1 * D_2$, consists of all elements $z_{-m} \cdots z_{-1}\dot{z}_0 z_1 \cdots z_n$, where $m, n \geq 0, z_0 \in X \cup Y, z_i \in (X \setminus X_0) \cup (Y \setminus Y_0), -m \leq i \leq n, i \neq 0$, neither $\{z_j, z_{j+1}\} \subseteq X$ nor $\{z_j, z_{j+1}\} \subseteq Y, -m \leq j \leq n-1$.*

**Proof.** By the proof of Theorem 4.6, we have $\{x_i \dashv x_{i_0}\} = 0,\ \{x_{i_0} \vdash x_i\} = 0,\ \{y_l \dashv y_{l_0}\} = 0$ and $\{y_{l_0} \vdash y_l\} = 0$ for any $i \in I,\ i_0 \in I_0,\ l \in J,\ l_0 \in J_0$.

Firstly, we prove that $h_{y_l \dashv x_{i_0}} \in Id(S_1 \cup S_2)$ for any $i_0 \in I_0,\ l \in J$.

Since $y_l \dashv (c_i(\{a_i \dashv b_i\} - \{a_i \vdash b_i\})d_i) = y_l \dashv (c_i((a_i \dashv b_i - \{a_i \dashv b_i\}) - (a_i \vdash b_i - \{a_i \vdash b_i\})d_i) \in Id(S_1 \cup S_2)$, we have $y_l \dashv \{c_i\{a_i \dashv b_i - a_i \vdash b_i\}d_i\} \in Id(S_1 \cup S_2)$ for all $i, l$. Then $h_{y_l \dashv x_{i_0}} \in Id(S_1 \cup S_2)$.

Similarly, we have $h_{x_{i_0} \vdash y_l},\ h_{y_{l_0} \vdash x_i},\ h_{x_i \dashv y_{l_0}} \in Id(S_1 \cup S_2)$ for any $i \in I,\ i_0 \in I_0,\ l \in J,\ l_0 \in J_0$.

Secondly, we will show that all compositions in $S$ are trivial.

All possible compositions of left and right multiplication are: $z \dashv f_{x_i \vdash x_j},\ z \dashv f_{y_l \vdash y_m},\ z \dashv h_{x_{i_0} \vdash y_l},\ z \dashv h_{y_{l_0} \vdash x_i},\ f_{x_i \dashv x_j} \vdash z,\ f_{y_l \dashv y_m} \vdash z,\ h_{y_l \dashv x_{i_0}} \vdash z,\ h_{x_i \dashv y_{l_0}} \vdash z$, where $z \in X \cup Y$.

By a similar proof in Theorem 4.6, all compositions of left and right multiplication mentioned the above are trivial modulo $S$.

Now, all possible ambiguities $[w]$ of compositions of intersection in $S$ are:

$$1 \wedge 1, [x_i x_j \dot{x}_t]; 1 \wedge 2, [x_i \dot{x}_j x_t]; 1 \wedge 5, [x_i x_{i_0} \dot{y}_l]; 1 \wedge 8, [x_i \dot{x}_j y_{l_0}].$$
$$2 \wedge 2, [\dot{x}_i x_j x_t]; 2 \wedge 8, [\dot{x}_i x_j y_{l_0}].$$
$$3 \wedge 3, [y_l y_m \dot{y}_t]; 3 \wedge 4, [y_l \dot{y}_m y_t]; 3 \wedge 6, [y_l \dot{y}_m x_{i_0}]; 3 \wedge 7, [y_m y_{l_0} \dot{x}_i].$$
$$4 \wedge 4, [\dot{y}_l y_m y_t]; 4 \wedge 6, [\dot{y}_l y_m x_{i_0}].$$
$$5 \wedge 3, [x_{i_0} y_l \dot{y}_t]; 5 \wedge 4, [x_{i_0} \dot{y}_l y_t]; 5 \wedge 6, [x_{i_0} \dot{y}_l x_{j_0}]; 5 \wedge 7, [x_{i_0} y_{l_0} \dot{x}_t].$$
$$6 \wedge 2, [\dot{y}_l x_{i_0} x_t]; 6 \wedge 8, [\dot{y}_m x_{i_0} y_{l_0}].$$
$$7 \wedge 1, [y_{l_0} x_i \dot{x}_j]; 7 \wedge 2, [y_{l_0} \dot{x}_i x_j]; 7 \wedge 5, [y_{l_0} x_{i_0} \dot{y}_m]; 7 \wedge 8, [y_{l_0} \dot{x}_i y_{m_0}].$$
$$8 \wedge 4, [\dot{x}_i y_{l_0} y_t]; 8 \wedge 6, [\dot{x}_i y_{l_0} x_{i_0}].$$

There is no composition of inclusion in $S$.

We will show that all compositions of intersection in $S$ are trivial. We check only the cases of $1 \wedge 5$ and $2 \wedge 8$. Others can be similarly proved.

For $1 \wedge 5$, $[w] = [x_i x_{i_0} \dot{y}_l]$, let $\{x_i \vdash x_{i_0}\} = \sum \alpha_{t_0} x_{t_0}$. Then

$$(1 \wedge 5)_{[w]} = -\{x_i \vdash x_{i_0}\} \vdash y_l = -\sum \alpha_{t_0} h_{x_{t_0} \vdash y_l} \equiv 0 \quad mod(S, [w]).$$

For $2 \wedge 8$, $[w] = [\dot{x}_i x_j y_{l_0}]$, let $\{x_i \dashv x_j\} = \sum \alpha_t x_t$. Then

$$(2 \wedge 8)_{[w]} = -\{x_i \dashv x_j\} \dashv y_{l_0} = -\sum \alpha_t h_{x_t \dashv y_{l_0}} \equiv 0 \quad mod(S, [w]).$$

Then all the compositions in $S$ are trivial. This show (i).

(ii) follows from our Theorem 3.9. $\square$

**Definition 4.9** *Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a set, $k$ a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and $(a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ a non-zero symmetric matrix over $k$. Denote*

$$D(X \cup \{e\} \mid x_i \vdash x_j + x_j \dashv x_i - 2a_{ij}e,\ e \vdash y - y,\ y \dashv e - y,\ x_i, x_j \in X,\ y \in X \cup \{e\})$$

*by $C(n, f)$. Then $C(n, f)$ is called a Clifford dialgebra.*

We order $X \cup \{e\}$ by $x_1 < \cdots < x_n < e$.

**Theorem 4.10** *Let the notation be as the above. Then*

*(i) $S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of Clifford dialgebra $C(n,f)$, where $S$ consists of the following relations:*

1. $f_{x_ix_j} = x_i \vdash x_j + x_j \dashv x_i - 2a_{ij}e$,
2. $g_{e\vdash y} = e \vdash y - y$,
3. $g_{y\dashv e} = y \dashv e - y$,
4. $f_{y\dashv x_ix_j} = y \dashv x_i \dashv x_j + y \dashv x_j \dashv x_i - 2a_{ij}y, \quad (i > j)$,
5. $f_{y\dashv x_ix_i} = y \dashv x_i \dashv x_i - a_{ii}y$,
6. $f_{x_ix_j\vdash y} = x_i \vdash x_j \vdash y + x_j \vdash x_i \vdash y - 2a_{ij}y, \quad (i > j)$,
7. $f_{x_ix_i\vdash y} = x_i \vdash x_i \vdash y - a_{ii}y$,
8. $h_{x_ie} = x_i \vdash e - e \dashv x_i$,

*where $x_i, x_j \in X, y \in X \cup \{e\}$.*

*(ii) A $k$-linear basis of $C(n,f)$ is a set of all elements of the form $\dot{y}x_{i_1} \cdots x_{ik}$, where $y \in X \cup \{e\}$, $x_{ij} \in X$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \quad (k \geq 0)$.*

**Proof.** Let $S_1 = \{f_{x_ix_j}, g_{e\vdash y}, g_{y\dashv e} \mid x_i, x_j \in X, y \in X \cup \{e\}\}$.

Firstly, we will show that $f_{y\dashv x_ix_j},\ f_{y\dashv x_ix_i},\ f_{x_ix_j\vdash y},\ f_{x_ix_i\vdash y},\ h_{x_ie} \in Id(S_1)$.

In fact, $f_{y\dashv x_ix_j} = y \dashv f_{x_ix_j} + 2a_{ij}g_{y\dashv e}$ implies $f_{y\dashv x_ix_j},\ f_{y\dashv x_ix_i} \in Id(S_1)$. By symmetry, we have $f_{x_ix_j\vdash y},\ f_{x_ix_i\vdash y} \in Id(S_1)$.

If there exists $t$ such that $a_{it} \neq 0$, then

$$2a_{it}h_{x_ie} = f_{x_ix_i\vdash x_t} - x_i \vdash f_{x_i\vdash x_t} + f_{x_i\vdash x_t} \dashv x_i - f_{x_t\dashv x_ix_i} \in Id(S_1).$$

Otherwise, $a_{it} = 0$ for any $t$. Since $(a_{ij}) \neq 0$, there exists $j \neq i$ such that $a_{jt} \neq 0$ for some $t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & 2a_{jt}h_{x_ie} \\ = \ & f_{x_ix_j\vdash x_t} - x_i \vdash f_{x_j\vdash x_t} - x_j \vdash f_{x_i\vdash x_t} + f_{x_i\vdash x_t} \dashv x_j + f_{x_j\vdash x_t} \dashv x_i - f_{x_t\dashv x_ix_j} \in Id(S_1). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $h_{x_ie} \in Id(S_1)$.

Secondly, we will show that all compositions in $S$ is trivial.

All possible compositions of left and right multiplication are: $z \dashv f_{x_ix_j},\ z \dashv g_{e\vdash y},\ z \dashv f_{x_ix_j\vdash y},\ z \dashv f_{x_ix_i\vdash y},\ z \dashv h_{x_ie},\ f_{x_ix_j} \vdash z,\ g_{y\dashv e} \vdash z,\ f_{y\dashv x_ix_j} \vdash z,\ f_{y\dashv x_ix_i} \vdash z,\ h_{x_ie} \vdash z$, where $z \in X \cup \{e\}$. We just check the cases of $f_{y\dashv x_ix_j} \vdash z$ and $h_{x_ie} \vdash z$. Others can be similarly proved.

For $f_{y\dashv x_ix_j} \vdash z$, we have

$$f_{y\dashv x_ix_j} \vdash z = y \vdash x_i \vdash x_j \vdash z + y \vdash x_j \vdash x_i \vdash z - 2a_{ij}y \vdash z = y \vdash f_{x_ix_j\vdash z} \equiv 0 \ mod(S).$$

For $h_{x_ie} \vdash z$,

$$h_{x_ie} \vdash z = x_i \vdash e \vdash z - e \vdash x_i \vdash z = x_i \vdash g_{e\vdash z} - g_{e\vdash x_i} \vdash z \equiv 0 \ mod(S).$$

Now, all possible ambiguities $[w]$ of compositions of intersection in $S$ are:

$1 \wedge 3, [x_i\dot{x}_j e]; 1 \wedge 4, [x_i\dot{x}_j x_m x_n]\ (m > n); 1 \wedge 5, [x_i\dot{x}_j x_n x_n].$
$2 \wedge 1, [ex_i\dot{x}_j]; 2 \wedge 2, [ee\dot{y}]; 2 \wedge 3, [e\dot{y}e]; 2 \wedge 4, [e\dot{y}x_i x_j]\ (i > j);$
  $2 \wedge 5, [e\dot{y}x_i x_i]; 2 \wedge 6, [ex_i x_j\dot{y}]\ (i > j); 2 \wedge 7, [ex_i x_i\dot{y}]; 2 \wedge 8, [ex_i\dot{e}].$
$3 \wedge 3, [\dot{y}ee]; 3 \wedge 4, [\dot{y}ex_i x_j]\ (i > j); 3 \wedge 5, [\dot{y}ex_i x_i].$
$4 \wedge 3, [\dot{y}x_i x_j e]\ (i > j); 4 \wedge 4, [\dot{y}x_i x_j x_m x_n]\ (i > j, m > n), [\dot{y}x_i x_j x_t]\ (i > j > t);$
  $4 \wedge 5, [\dot{y}x_i x_j x_t x_t]\ (i > j), [\dot{y}x_i x_j x_j]\ (i > j).$
$5 \wedge 3, [\dot{y}x_i x_i e]; 5 \wedge 4, [\dot{y}x_i x_i x_m x_n]\ (m > n), [\dot{y}x_i x_i x_j]\ (i > j);$
  $5 \wedge 5, [\dot{y}x_i x_i x_m x_m], [\dot{y}x_i x_i x_i].$
$6 \wedge 1, [x_i x_j x_m\dot{x}_n]\ (i > j); 6 \wedge 2, [x_i x_j e\dot{y}]\ (i > j); 6 \wedge 3, [x_i x_j\dot{y}e]\ (i > j);$
  $6 \wedge 4, [x_i x_j\dot{y}x_m x_n]\ (i > j, m > n); 6 \wedge 5, [x_i x_j\dot{y}x_m x_m]\ (i > j);$
  $6 \wedge 6, [x_i x_j x_m x_n\dot{y}]\ (i > j, m > n), [x_i x_j x_t\dot{y}]\ (i > j > t);$
  $6 \wedge 7, [x_i x_j x_m x_m\dot{y}]\ (i > j), [x_i x_j x_j\dot{y}]\ (i > j); 6 \wedge 8, [x_i x_j x_t\dot{e}]\ (i > j).$
$7 \wedge 1, [x_i x_i x_m\dot{x}_n]; 7 \wedge 2, [x_i x_i e\dot{y}]; 7 \wedge 3, [x_i x_i\dot{y}e]; 7 \wedge 4, [x_i x_i\dot{y}x_m x_n]\ (m > n);$
  $7 \wedge 5, [x_i x_i\dot{y}x_m x_m]; 7 \wedge 6, [x_i x_i x_m x_n\dot{y}]\ (m > n), [x_i x_i x_t\dot{y}]\ (i > t);$
  $7 \wedge 7, [x_i x_i x_m x_m\dot{y}],\ [x_i x_i x_i\dot{y}]; 7 \wedge 8, [x_i x_i x_j\dot{e}].$
$8 \wedge 3, [x_i\dot{e}e]; 8 \wedge 4, [x_i\dot{e}x_m x_n]\ (m > n); 8 \wedge 5, [x_i\dot{e}x_m x_m].$

All possible ambiguities $[w]$ of compositions of inclusion in $S$ are:

$6 \wedge 1, [x_i x_j\dot{x}_t]\ (i > j);\ 6 \wedge 8, [x_i x_j\dot{e}]\ (i > j).$
$7 \wedge 1, [x_i x_i\dot{x}_j];\ 7 \wedge 8, [x_i x_i\dot{e}].$

We just check the cases of intersection $1 \wedge 4, 4 \wedge 4, 6 \wedge 4, 6 \wedge 8, 8 \wedge 4$ and of inclusion $6 \wedge 1, 6 \wedge 8$. Others can be similarly proved.

For $1 \wedge 4$, $[w] = [x_i\dot{x}_j x_m x_n]\ (m > n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 \wedge 4)_{[w]} \\
= \ & x_j \dashv x_i \dashv x_m \dashv x_n - 2a_{ij}e \dashv x_m \dashv x_n - x_i \vdash x_j \dashv x_n \dashv x_m + 2a_{mn}x_i \vdash x_j \\
= \ & x_j \dashv f_{x_i \dashv x_m x_n} - 2a_{ij}f_{e \dashv x_m x_n} - f_{x_i x_j} \dashv x_n \dashv x_m + 2a_{mn}f_{x_i x_j} \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

For $4 \wedge 4$, there are two cases to consider: $[w_1] = [\dot{y}x_i x_j x_m x_n]\ (i > j, m > n)$ and $[w_2] = [\dot{y}x_i x_j x_t]\ (i > j > t)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (4 \wedge 4)_{[w_1]} \\
= \ & y \dashv x_j \dashv x_i \dashv x_m \dashv x_n - 2a_{ij}y \dashv x_m \dashv x_n - y \dashv x_i \dashv x_j \dashv x_n \dashv x_m + 2a_{mn}y \dashv x_i \dashv x_j \\
= \ & y \dashv x_j \dashv f_{x_i \dashv x_m x_n} - 2a_{ij}f_{y \dashv x_m x_n} - f_{y \dashv x_i x_j} \dashv x_n \dashv x_m + 2a_{mn}f_{y \dashv x_i x_j} \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S, [w_1]) \qquad \text{and} \\
& (4 \wedge 4)_{[w_2]} \\
= \ & y \dashv x_j \dashv x_i \dashv x_t - 2a_{ij}y \dashv x_t - y \dashv x_i \dashv x_t \dashv x_j + 2a_{jt}y \dashv x_i \\
= \ & y \dashv f_{x_j \dashv x_i x_t} - f_{y \dashv x_j x_t} \dashv x_i - f_{y \dashv x_i x_t} \dashv x_j + y \dashv f_{x_t \dashv x_i x_j} \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S, [w_2]).
\end{aligned}$$

For $6 \wedge 4$, $[w] = [x_i x_j \dot{y} x_m x_n]$ $(i > j, m > n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (6 \wedge 4)_{[w]} \\
= \ & x_j \vdash x_i \vdash y \dashv x_m \dashv x_n - 2a_{ij} y \dashv x_m \dashv x_n - x_i \vdash x_j \vdash y \dashv x_n \dashv x_m + 2a_{mn} x_i \vdash x_j \vdash y \\
= \ & x_j \vdash x_i \vdash f_{y \dashv x_m x_n} - 2a_{ij} f_{y \dashv x_m x_n} - f_{x_i x_j \vdash y} \dashv x_n \dashv x_m + 2a_{mn} f_{x_i x_j \vdash y} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

For $6 \wedge 8$, $[w] = [x_i x_j x_t \dot{e}]$ $(i > j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6 \wedge 8)_{[w]} &= x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t \vdash e - 2a_{ij} x_t \vdash e + x_i \vdash x_j \vdash e \dashv x_t \\
&= x_j \vdash x_i \vdash h_{x_t e} - 2a_{ij} h_{x_t e} + f_{x_i x_j \vdash e} \dashv x_t \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

For $8 \wedge 4$, $[w] = [x_i \dot{e} x_m x_n]$ $(m > n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(8 \wedge 4)_{[w]} &= -e \dashv x_i \dashv x_m \dashv x_n - x_i \vdash e \dashv x_n \dashv x_m + 2a_{mn} x_i \vdash e \\
&= -e \dashv f_{x_i \dashv x_m x_n} - h_{x_i e} \dashv x_n \dashv x_m + 2a_{mn} h_{x_i e} \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we check the compositions of inclusion $6 \wedge 1$ and $6 \wedge 8$.
For $6 \wedge 1$, $[w] = [x_i x_j \dot{x}_t]$ $(i > j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6 \wedge 1)_{[w]} &= x_j \vdash x_i \vdash x_t - 2a_{ij} x_t - x_i \vdash x_t \dashv x_j + 2a_{jt} x_i \vdash e \\
&= x_j \vdash f_{x_i x_t} - f_{x_i x_t} \dashv x_j + 2a_{jt} h_{x_i e} - f_{x_j x_t} \dashv x_i + f_{x_t \dashv x_i x_j} + 2a_{it} h_{x_j e} \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

For $6 \wedge 8$, $[w] = [x_i x_j \dot{e}]$ $(i > j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6 \wedge 8)_{[w]} &= x_j \vdash x_i \vdash e - 2a_{ij} e + x_i \vdash e \dashv x_j \\
&= x_j \vdash h_{x_i e} + h_{x_i e} \dashv x_j + h_{x_j e} \dashv x_i + f_{e \dashv x_i x_j} \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

Then all the compositions in $S$ are trivial. We have proved (i).
For (ii), since the mentioned set is just the set $Irr(S)$, by Theorem 3.9 the result holds.
The proof is complete. □

**Remark:** In the Theorem 4.10, if the matrix $(a_{ij})_{n \times n} = 0$, then Clifford dialgebra $C(n, f)$ has a Gröbner-Shirshov basis $S'$ which consists of the relations 1–7.

**Acknowledgement**: The authors would like to thank P.S. Kolesnikov who gives some valuable remarks for this paper.

# References

[1] M. Aymon and P.-P. Grivel, Un theoreme de Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt pour les algebres de Leibniz, *Comm. Algebra*, 31(2003), N2, 527-544.

[2] G.M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, *Adv. in Math.*, 29, 178-218(1978).

[3] L.A. Bokut, Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 36, 1173-1219(1972).

[4] L.A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, *Algebra i Logika*, 15, 117-142(1976).

[5] L.A. Bokut and Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Lie algebras: after A.I. Shirshov, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 31, 1057-1076(2007).

[6] L.A. Bokut and K.P. Shum, Gröbner and Gröbner-Shirshov bases in algebra: an elementary approach, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 29, 227-252(2005).

[7] B. Buchberger, An algorithm for finding a basis for the residue class ring of a zero-dimensional polynomial ideal [in German], Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Austria, (1965).

[8] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations[in German], *Aequationes Math.*, 4, 374-383(1970).

[9] P.S. Kolesnikov, Conformal representations of Leibniz algebras, arXiv:math/0611501.

[10] J.-L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algebres de Lie: les algebres de Leibniz, Ens. Math. 39, 269-293(1993).

[11] J.-L. Loday, Algebras with two associative operations (dialgebras), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 321, 141-146(1995).

[12] J.-L. Loday, Dialgebras, in: Dialgebras and related operads, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1763. Berlin: Springer Verl., 2001, 7-66.

[13] S. MacLane, Categories for the Working Mathematician, Springer, 1997.

[14] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, 3(1962), 292-296(in Russian); English translation in SIGSAM Bull., 33(2), 3-6(1999).